TWENTY-FIRST BIENNIAL REPORT OF THE STATE TAX COMMISSION



Vernon L. Holman Chairman

G. Douglas Taylor

Paul T. Fordham

R. Milton Yorgason Commissioners

Phillip E. Procter Executive Secretary

Roscoe E. Hammond
Consultant

For the Period from July 1, 1970 to June 30, 1972



THE STATE OF UTAH

STATE TAX COMMISSION 200 STATE OF FICE BUILDING SALT LAKE CITY

December 15, 1972

COMMISSIONERS
VERNON L. HOLMAN
CHAIRMAN
G. DOUGLAS TAYLOR
PAUL T. FORDHAM
R. MILTON YORGASON
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY
PHILLIP E. PROCTER

To His Excellency The Honorable Calvin L. Rampton, Governor and Members of the Legislature of the State of Utah

Gentlemen:

We submit herewith the Biennial Report of the State Tax Commission covering the period from July 1, 1970 to June 30, 1972, with recommendations as to such legislation as will correct or eliminate defects in the operations of the tax laws, and will equalize the burden of taxation within the state.

This report is made in compliance with the requirements of the law as stated in Section 59-5-46, Utah Code Annotated 1953, as amended.

Respectfully submitted,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

Tocos

Executive Secretary

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Recommendations	
Corporation Franchise Tax	3
Individual Income Tax	4
Motor Fuel Tax	5
Multistate Tax Compact	5
Property Tax	
Privilege Tax	
Sales and Use Tax	9
Administration of Property Tax Assessment	13
Summary of Excise Taxes and Fees Administered by the State Tax Commission	27
Excise Taxes Collected by the State Tax Commission for the Fiscal Years 1971 and 1972	40
Audit of Excise Tax Returns	46
Distribution of Uniform Local Sales and Use Tax to	
Participating Units for the Fiscal Years	
1971 and 1972	48
Distribution of Transient Room Tax	62
Property Taxes for the Calendar Years 1970 and 1971	65
Trends in Taxation – Statement Showing Shift in Pro-	
portion of Total Property Taxes as Compared	
with Excise Taxes over a Ten Vear Period	74

rwei erwei, er voed dierreiere

STOREST AND STOREST OF RECOGNISHING ACTIONS

i sustaniani.

自由的第三人称单

er Probabelduit .

26度量数的10°

sat systems

Self-fall unit

The specific sections

15 (15 (15)) 1 (5) (4) (7

Balliaman 1 -

the content of the state of the

The responsibility of the second of the seco

The state of the second section is a second second

RECOMMENDATIONS

The Continue Statement Care

and the engineering property of the

er i kongres i pengalan manahan menjalan menjalan menjalan menjalan menjalan menjalan menjalan menjalan menjal Pengalan pengalan pengalan pengalan menjalan menjalan menjalan pengalan pengalan pengalan pengalan pengalan pe

andges Sutherige Salah

The second

This is the second of the seco

.

1. 42 13 Car Very Comment

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Corporation Franchise Tax

We respectfully recommend that:

- a. The corporation franchise tax act be amended to eliminate the prepaid feature of the law by placing new corporations on a current basis thereby eliminating the doubling up feature.
- b. The corporation franchise tax act be amended by eliminating the federal income tax as a deduction and by decreasing the rate of the tax from 6 per cent to 4 per cent. We also recommend that a similar amendment be made in the corporation income tax law.
- c. The uniform division of income for tax purposes act as contained in the corporation franchise tax law be amended in the same manner as we recommend for the multistate tax compact in order that the provisions will be the same in both laws.

2. Individual Income Tax

We respectfully recommend that the individual income tax act be amended:

To provide for additional areas of uniformity with the United States Internal Revenue Code. These changes would eliminate most of the existing differences between federal and state definitions of allowable income and deductions affecting the majority of taxpayers.

3. Motor Fuel Tax

We respectfully recommend that:

The motor fuel tax be amended to clarify the procedure in allowing a deduction of the tax on motor fuel shipped from Utah to another state.

4. Multistate Tax Compact

We respectfully recommend that:

Utah's Multistate Tax Compact be amended to conform with the Model Multistate Tax Compact.

5. Property Tax

We respectfully recommend that:

- a. The legislature review the provisions of the "Farmland Assessment Act of 1969" for the purpose of making such amendments to this act as the brief experience with this law may indicate in order to improve its administration.
- b. That Section 59-5-30 be rewritten to provide a more realistic affidavit for the county assessor to take and subscribe in the assessment books before they are delivered to the county treasurer.
- c. The county board of equalization be required to send a notice of its action to every person who has made a complaint to the board regarding the assessed valuation of property, its exemption or any other matter regarding the record of assessment of such property.
- d. Section 59-7-10, U.C.A., 1953, be amended to permit any person ten days in which to appeal from the action of a county board of equalization to the state tax commission. The present law allows only five days.
- e. The legislature enact such laws as will provide the state accurate and current information regarding the selling price of real property, which information may be used by the tax commission in determining market values and in the administration of Section 59-5-109.
- f. The legislature enact a law requiring the filing of a deed immediately upon the sale of any tax exempt property. Many properties sold under contract by tax exempt organizations escape property taxes for many years.

6. Privilege Tax

We respectfully recommend that:

Section 59-13-73 of the privilege tax be amended by deleting the last sentence of that section which reads as follows: "No tax shall be imposed upon the possession or other beneficial use of public lands occupied under the terms of mineral or grazing leases or permits issued by the United States or the State of Utah or upon any easement unless that lease, permit or easement entitles the lessee or permittee to exclusive possession of the premises to which the lease, permit or easement relates."

Twenty-First Biennial Re

7. Sales and Use Tax

We respectfully reco

- a. The sales tax school lunches and ot persons other than bona
- b. The sales tax personal property sold property outside of this

.

1. Corporation Franch

We respectfully reco

a. The corporation the prepaid feature of current basis thereby elim

This will place n eliminate payment of tv amendment must include now incorporated or qua a prepaid basis to eliminate

b. The corporateliminating the federal is the rate of the tax from that a similar amendmental taw.

This change will particularly with corporallocation, depletion or federal income tax purpof the federal tax in a limited. Based upon a st could be reduced from tax deduction is elimina in total revenue.

isions of the "Farmland urpose of making such tience with this law may

tten to provide a more take and subscribe in the l to the county treasurer.

on be required to send a s made a complaint to the roperty, its exemption or of assessment of such

the action of a county mission. The present law

as will provide the state g the selling price of real by the tax commission in dministration of Section

uring the filing of a deed exempt property. Many empt organizations escape

the amended by deleting s as follows: "No tax shall beneficial use of public teral or grazing leases or State of Utah or upon any nent entitles the lessee or remises to which the lease,

7. Sales and Use Tax

We respectfully recommend that:

- a. The sales tax law be amended to provide that the sale of school lunches and other meals by universities and colleges to persons other than bonafide students shall be subject to the tax.
- b. The sales tax law be amended to provide that tangible personal property sold in Utah to contractors to improve real property outside of this state shall be exempt from the tax.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Corporation Franchise Tax

We respectfully recommend that:

a. The corporation franchise tax act be amended to eliminate the prepaid feature of the law by placing new corporations on a current basis thereby eliminating the doubling up feature.

This will place new corporations on a current basis and eliminate payment of two years' tax during the one year period. The amendment must include a provision which will allow corporations now incorporated or qualified to do business in Utah to continue on a prepaid basis to eliminate loss of revenue.

b. The corporation franchise tax act be amended by eliminating the federal income tax as a deduction and by decreasing the rate of the tax from 6 per cent to 4 per cent. We also recommend that a similar amendment be made in the corporation income tax law.

This change will help the administration of both acts, particularly with corporations that have income subject to specific allocation, depletion or those which file a consolidated return for federal income tax purposes. Only seven states allow the deduction of the federal tax in computing the state tax, two of which are limited. Based upon a study conducted by the auditing division, rates could be reduced from 6 per cent to 4 percent if the federal income tax deduction is eliminated and there would be no significant change in total revenue.

c. The uniform division of income for tax purposes act as contained in the corporation franchise tax law be amended in the same manner as we recommend for the multistate tax compact in order that the provisions will be the same in both laws.

2. Individual Income Tax

We respectfully recommend that the individual income tax act be amended:

To provide for additional areas of uniformity with the United States Internal Revenue Code. These changes would eliminate most of the existing differences between federal and state definitions of allowable income and deductions affecting the majority of taxpayers.

Specifically we recommend that:

- a. Section 59-14-4 be amended by adding a provision which will exempt fellowship and scholarship grants from the tax.
- b. Section 59-14-4 be amended by adding a provision which will exempt unemployment compensation from the tax.
- c. Section 59-14-4 be amended to define taxable income in respect of a decedent. This is a matter not now covered by the state law. We recommend that the provisions of the internal revenue code be adapted as far as they may be applicable.
- d. Section 59-14-5(5) be amended to raise substantially the limitation for the deduction of contributions or gifts. The state law now limits these deductions to 15% of the taxpayer's gross income.
- e. Section 59-14-5(6) be amended to conform substantially with the provisions of the federal law. This section now provides for the deduction of medical expenses from gross income but limits the deductions in the following manner:

Medical Expenses:

"(6) Expenses paid by a resident taxpayer during the taxable year, not compensated for by insurance or otherwise, for medical care of the taxpayer, his spouse or dependent as defined in section 59-14-7 to the extent that such expenses exceed five per cent of his gross income. The deduction shall not exceed \$750 for a single person or \$1500 for husband and wife filing jointly."

Twenty-First Biennial Re

- f. Section 59-14-\$100.00 of each casualty provided by the internal r
- g. Section 59-14penalty of 5% for each m 25%. This would replace the return is a day or a year

3. Motor Fuel Tax

We respectfully recor

The motor fuel tax allowing a deduction of the another state.

Presently, the law presently after exportation of the tax, even though tare application is not the tax commission should 20 per cent of the tax prepaid to another state. This the full amount of the tax paid to another state.

4. Multistate Tax Compa

We respectfully recom

Utah's Multistate T_{ax} the Model Multistate T_{ax} C

Contained in the mu which provides for the a corporations. The uniform nationally recommended m act, all sales are assigned a corporation is doing busine model act, sales are assigning corporation is doing busine difference in assigning of a States Government; secon institutions and utilities are the model act. When Utah

e for tax purposes act as x law be amended in the nultistate tax compact in a both laws.

individual income tax act

uiformity with the United ges would eliminate most il and state definitions of the majority of taxpayers.

adding a provision which ts from the tax.

adding a provision which rom the tax.

define taxable income in now covered by the state the internal revenue code

to raise substantially the ns or gifts. The state law taxpayer's gross income.

to conform substantially section now provides for oss income but limits the

ent taxpayer during the urance or otherwise, for dependent as defined in mses exceed five per cent exceed \$750 for a single jointly."

f. Section 59-14-5(3) be amended to provide that the first \$100.00 of each casualty or theft loss shall be non-deductible as now provided by the internal revenue code.

g. Section 59-14-21 be amended to provide a graduated penalty of 5% for each month of delinquency up to a maximum of 25%. This would replace the penalty of 25% for late filing whether the return is a day or a year late.

ेक्षिकी अम्बद्धकार अध्यादा स्थान

and the second of the second

3. Motor Fuel Tax

We respectfully recommend that:

The motor fuel tax be amended to clarify the procedure in allowing a deduction of the tax on motor fuel shipped from Utah to another state.

Presently, the law provides that, if a claim is not made within 180 days after exportation, the taxpayer is penalized the full amount of the tax, even though tax on the same fuel is paid to another state. If proper application is not made within the prescribed time period, the tax commission should have the authority to impose a penalty of 20 per cent of the tax provided proof was submitted that tax was paid to another state. This penalty would be in place of requesting the full amount of the tax to be paid when such tax has already been paid to another state.

4. Multistate Tax Compact

We respectfully recommend that:

Utah's Multistate Tax Compact be amended to conform with the Model Multistate Tax Compact.

Contained in the multistate tax compact is the uniform act which provides for the apportionment of income for multistate corporations. The uniform act, as adopted by Utah, differs from the nationally recommended model act in two respects, first, in the Utah act, all sales are assigned on a destination basis whether or not the corporation is doing business in the destination state whereas in the model act, sales are assigned on a destination basis, but only if the corporation is doing business in the destination state and also a slight difference in assigning of sales relative to sales made to the United States Government; second, in the Utah uniform act, financial institutions and utilities are not excluded corporations as they are in the model act. When Utah adopted the compact, the provision for

participating in multistate tax commission audits was eliminated. This provision should be changed so that Utah can participate and get the benefit from multistate tax commission audits. Utah pays dues like other multistate tax commission members and should be able to participate fully in all of the activities, particularly the audits.

5. Property Tax

We respectfully recommend that:

a. The legislature review the provisions of the "Farmland Assessment Act of 1969" for the purpose of making such amendments to this act as the brief experience with this law may indicate in order to improve its administration.

In particular, we call your attention to the provisions of the law which establish the method for making an application to have land assessed in the manner which this law has established and also for the computation of the "roll back" tax.

b. That Section 59-5-30 be rewritten to provide a more realistic affidavit for the county assessor to take and subscribe in the assessment books they are delivered to the county treasurer.

The section above cited reads as follows:

59-5-30. Assessor to complete assessment book and subscribe affidavit. — On or before the first Monday of May in each year the assessor must complete his assessment book, and deliver the same to the county treasurer. He must take and subscribe an affidavit in the assessment book to be substantially as follows:

County, do swear that before, the first Monday in May, 19_____, I made diligent inquiry and examination, and visited and inspected, either personally or by deputy, all of the property within the county subject to assessment by me; that the same has been assessed on the assessment book equally and uniformly according to the best of my judgment, information and belief, at thirty per cent of its reasonable fair cash value; that I have faithfully complied with all the duties imposed on the assessor under the revenue laws; and that I have not imposed any unjust or double assessments through malice or ill will or otherwise, or allowed anyone to escape a just and equal assessment through favor or reward, or otherwise.

The assessor shapervices after the finaffidavit is made and affidavit, or any afficient of the assessment."

Even a casual inadequacy of this assessor should subservill clearly and adecrealistic and accurate.

c. The county notice of its action to board regarding the a any other matter r property.

Section 59-7-1 n

property where a of equalization to on or prior to disposed of or d date shall be deen onotice of such

The recommend county board of equa have not been passed meetings of such deni property tax values a board of equalization.

d. Section 59any person ten days county board of equ present law allows only

This section now

... Any per decision of the co the assessment of may appeal from **State Tax Commission**

n audits was eliminated. Utah can participate and nission audits. Utah pays members and should be es, particularly the audits.

isions of the "Farmland upose of making such rience with this law may on.

the provisions of the law application to have land tablished and also for the

tten to provide a more take and subscribe in the ounty treasurer.

nent book and subscribe of May in each year the and deliver the same to escribe an affidavit in the vs:

nday in May, 19_____, I d visited and inspected, operty within the county has been assessed on the ording to the best of my per cent of its reasonable olied with all the duties laws; and that I have not hrough malice or ill will cape a just and equal wise. The assessor shall not be paid or draw any compensation for services after the first Monday in May of each year, until said affidavit is made and subscribed. A failure to make or subscribe such affidavit, or any affidavit, will not in any manner affect the validity of the assessment."

Even a casual reading of the above will indicate the total inadequacy of this requirement. We are of the opinion that the assessor should subscribe a statement in each assessment book which will clearly and adequately describe its contents but it should be realistic and accurate.

c. The county board of equalization be required to send a notice of its action to every person who has made a complaint to the board regarding the assessed valuation of property, its exemption or any other matter regarding the record of assessment of such property.

Section 59-7-1 now provides in part as follows:

... All complaints regarding the assessment of property where notice of the decision of the county board of equalization thereon has not been given to the taxpayer on or prior to June 20, and all such complaints not disposed of or decided by said board on or prior to said date shall be deemed to have been denied on said date and no notice of such denial need be given ...

The recommendation which we propose would require the county board of equalization to notify all persons, whose complaints have not been passed upon at the time of the termination of the meetings of such denial; and that all other persons who objected to property tax values also be notified of the action of the county board of equalization.

d. Section 59-7-10, U.C.A., 1953, be amended to permit any person ten days in which to appeal from the action of a county board of equalization to the state tax commission. The present law allows only five days.

This section now provides in part:

... Any person aggrieved and dissatisified with the decision of the county board of equalization in relation to the assessment of any property in which he has an interest may appeal from such decision to the state tax commission

by filing with the county auditor a notice of appeal, in duplicate, within five days after the final action of the county board, . . .

The experience the tax commission has had in hearing appeals from county boards of equalization is such that it is clearly shown that the period of five days from the time of notification of the action of the county board is quite inadequate. We, therefore, are of the opinion that this time should be extended to not less than ten days so that the property owners who desire to make an appeal will have more time in which to prepare the appeal.

e. The legislature enact such laws as will provide the state accurate and current information regarding the selling price of real property, which information may be used by the tax commission in determining market values and in the administration of Section 59-5-109.

This section provides in part as follows:

... The state tax commission shall administer and supervise a program for the revaluation of all taxable real property in each county every five years on a county-by-county rotation basis. A comprehensive written plan of rotation shall be promulgated by the state tax commission fixing the order of rotation as between counties upon the basis of the disparities therein between real property assessed values and real property fair cash values as determined by the state tax commission with revaluation to take place first in those counties where the greatest disparities exist . . .

Since the enactment of this law, the tax commission has proceeded to secure accurate information concerning the selling price of real property which has served as a foundation for its appraisal program; but the process has been costly both in time and money.

We propose at the time the deed of conveyance is filed with the county recorder that the actual selling price involved in the transaction be reported in an affidavit which will be privileged information but which will be subject to the examination by the county assessor and by the state tax commission.

f. The legislature enact a law requiring the filing of a deed immediately upon the sale of any tax exempt property. Many properties sold under contract by tax exempt organizations escape property taxes for many years.

6. Privilege Tax

We respectfully recon

Section 59-13-73 of the last sentence of that so be imposed upon the polands occupied under the permits issued by the Unieasement unless that lease permittee to exclusive popermit or easement relates

The body of the abo possession or other ben constitutionality of the following cases: Thiokol 393 P. 2d 391. and Marq 2d 168.

The sentence in que act and its presence in this helpful.

7. Sales and Use Tax

We respectfully reco

a. The sales tax l school lunches and oth persons other than bonafi

The business of cauniversities and colleges in direct competition wit tax. In view of this facindicated above.

b. The sales tax personal property sold property outside of this s

Under our present tangible personal propert in his own conveyanc transaction is subject to

a notice of appeal, in he final action of the

has had in hearing appeals ich that it is clearly shown ime of notification of the quate. We, therefore, are of tended to not less than ten sire to make an appeal will

s as will provide the state ing the selling price of real d by the tax commission in administration of Section

shall administer and ion of all taxable real five years on a comprehensive written ted by the state tax rotation as between rities therein between eal property fair cash tax commission with se counties where the

the tax commission has concerning the selling price oundation for its appraisal oth in time and money.

conveyance is filed with the ng price involved in the which will be privileged o the examination by the ission.

uiring the filing of a deed exempt property. Many empt organizations escape

Privilege Tax

We respectfully recommend that:

Section 59-13-73 of the privilege tax be amended by deleting the last sentence of that section which reads as follows: "No tax shall be imposed upon the possession or other beneficial use of public lands occupied under the terms of mineral or grazing leases or permits issued by the United States or the State of Utah or upon any easement unless that lease, permit or easement entitles the lessee or permittee to exclusive possession of the premises to which the lease, permit or easement relates."

er angga 18 graf sakke sakt arond pajamas a

The body of the above section clearly establishes a tax upon the possession or other beneficial use of tax exempt property. The constitutionality of the act has been clearly established in the following cases: Thiokol Chemical Corp. v. Peterson, 15 U. (2d) 355, 393 P. 2d 391. and Marquardt Corp. v. Weber County, Utah, 360 F. 2d 168.

The sentence in question does not amend any provision of this act and its presence in this section is more likely to be confusing than helpful.

Sales and Use Tax

We respectfully recommend that:

The sales tax law be amended to provide that the sale of school lunches and other meals by universities and colleges to persons other than bonafide students shall be subject to the tax.

The business of catering to groups other than students by universities and colleges has developed to such a stage that it is now in direct competition with restaurants which are subject to the sales tax. In view of this fact, we suggest that the law be amended as indicated above.

The sales tax law be amended to provide that tangible personal property sold in Utah to contractors to improve real property outside of this state shall be exempt from the tax.

Under our present law, if a real property contractor purchases tangible personal property in Utah and transports it out of this state in his own conveyance to improve real property, then such transaction is subject to the sales tax whereas, if such property is shipped to him by a common carrier or by the seller, such transaction is exempt from the sales tax. It appears that the equity in this situation would indicate the justification of the above recommendation.

ADM PROPER

Market 1

第次日本化計

ŽŽ,

Taple 1 Line 1

. P. 2 36 9 ...

三式(1)被说:

To design a strong to the control of the second sec ed engineer to a partie of the or reality test colors in the colors of the or reality test to a color of the colors of the color THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O and the first second to the case to prove the province of the state of the contribution. make the last the second secon of the treat, chiefe in height and one or specifically the lastication in the state of the SEAL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR

State Tax Commission

Auguntal den i Arrivit er or by the seller, such It appears that the equity in ustification of the above

the weight of

.. RT-Cf Commission

. Daniela sure sinta and have been also been sent Commence of testings Lulan girayusasis K. Green

New Values in sissenties at 1972 Texable Year, by Countie

	1.324	September 1
		ETAS:
	21.45.45	
· 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 10	14.073	1606
	606,86%	186.12
	106 365 1187 365	(B, 1997)
and state	1,587,6	34.0
enter in the source of the second section is	335,717.	1 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1
5. Jan 1986 - 515 8 5 8 1987 - 198 198 19	272,620	32
	1.191,611.	108.
	35174	44.0
	774,934	46.0
to to the first of the same will be to the contract of the same of	THE WAR BURE I	The second

ADMINISTRATION OF PROPERTY TAX ASSESSMENT

ina

Y.AKK.OBBEN 176,819.1

Progress Report on Reappraisal Program (59-5-106 to 111 UCA 1953 as amended) New Values Implemented in 1972 Taxable Year, by Counties

66. 1584.11 4.7		Values	Land	Percentage
	<u>1971</u>	1972	<u>Increase</u>	Increase
Summit	3,328,700	6,472,602	3,143,902	94.45%
Wasatch	2,050,853	5,464,876	3,414,023	166.50%
Morgan	1,538,078	2,144,447	606,369	39.42%
Rich	1,825,095	3,012,457	1,187,362	65.06%
Duchesne	3,558,355	5,445,395	1,887,040	53.03%
Wayne	500,934	836,671	335,737	67.02%
Daggett	518,939	791,559	272,620	52.53%
Garfield	1,099,675	2,291,286	1,191,611	108.36%
Kane	1,776,116	2,727,895	951,779	53.59%
Emery	1,612,539	2,387,523	774,984	48.06%
	17,809,284	31,574,711	13,765,427	77.29%
	<u>Buildir</u>	ng Values	Building	Percentage
	<u> 1971</u>	<u>1972</u>	Increase	Increase
Summit	3,075,310	4,475,320	1,400,010	45.52%
Wasatch	3,197,976	4,583,062	1,385,086	43.31%
Morgan	2,254,660	3,228,472	973,812	43.19%
Rich	782,245	1,528,583	746,338	95.41%
Duchesne	2,700,170	4,306,345	1,606,175	59.48%
Wayne	555,832	900,953	345,121	62.09%
Daggett	268,143	452,322	184,179	68.69%
Garfield	1,137,257	2,045,209	907,952	79.84%
Kane	1,240,504	2,159,074	918,570	74.05%
Emery	1,286,803	2,438,452	1,151,649	89.50%
	16,498,900	26,117,792	9,618,892	58.30%
		<u>Values</u>	Total	Percentage
_	<u>1971</u>	<u>1972</u>	<u>Increase</u>	Increase
Summit	6,404,010	10,947,922	4,543,912	70.95%
Wasatch	5,248,829	10,047,938	4,799,109	91.43%
Morgan	3,792,738	5,372,919	1,580,181	41.66%
Rich	2,607,340	4,541,040	1,933,700	74.16%
Duchesne	6,258,525	9,751,740	3,493,215	55.82%
Wayne	1,056,766	1,737,624	680,858	64.43%
Daggett	787,082	1,243,881	456,799	58.04%
Garfield	2,236,932	4,336,495	2,099,563	93.86%
Kane	3,016,620	4,886,969	1,870,349	62.00%
Emery	2,899,342	4,825,975	1,926,633	66.45%
	34,308,184	57,692,503	23,384,319	68.20%

Work in Process by County As of 6/30/72

·	% Complete	Effective Year
Millard	100%	1973
Uintah	86%	1973
Washington	80%	1973
Weber	45%	1974
Tooele	5%	1974

Note: Contracts to be negotiated and work started in first half of 1973: Box Elder, San Juan, Beaver and Davis.

Total Standard Appraisal Units Completed and in Process

1969–70	17,450		
್ಯಾಚಿಕ್ 1 970–71	17,450 31,027	esitaliant:	
1971–72	51,020		•
Total Units Complete Estimated in Process	99,497		17.28% 8.00%
Total Estimated Completion		まけな。 (5年) カラチン・1	25 28%

Note: The department is now geared to a production of approximately 500 appraisal units per work day.

PROGRESS AND STATE	***			
area (37)	9.79,598			Link its O
	.054.849	CONTROL OF THE	400,000,004	Bade.
3,657,48	1136,611	. 12 81 E.C.	1,286,302	i kyřyš
	948,813,7		008.828.31	
	lerol ornoresi	into V		
3-833581.	19.0248.4			hipson.
W. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	4,700,000			distantidi
#30,14	C(0\$8.)		14887.1387 E	i e negativij
78.10%.	CON, BEE. I.		0.846, 100, 5	
55.82%·	7.14.2. EVA. C.	- 1 日本日子直到2 総合の	224,861.4	2012/2014
64,43%	868,088		1,080,786 787,082	
P#0.88	erc.bek		787.082	rtoppala
新沙耳、红星	1808,000			DESCRIPTION OF
	存长者 科學等	一 医毛头上丘德病 化		一 自然表
Jan		Service of the servic		$j \in 2\pi/2 - \frac{3}{J}$
1,475 + 5	and the	X.99	131345	

Twenty-First Biennial R

Progress Report on P

County 4

Summit
Wasatch
Morgan
Rich
Duchesne
Wayne
Daggett
Garfield
Kane
Emery
Millard
Uintah
Weber
Tooele

Note: Since the initial travel time and reflects an addit

Washington

Appeals

Informal Hea Land — 22

Special Land — 2,27

1969 Farmland Assessment

Number of acres classific Number of separate field

State Tax Commission

County

Effective Y	ear
1973	
1973	٠
1973	
1974	
1974	

vork started in first half of er and Davis.

pleted and in Process

17.28% 8.00%
25.28%

a production of approxiork day.

Twenty-First Biennial Report

Progress Report on Percentage of Total Land Mass Reappraised by Counties as of 6/30/72

County	County Area (Acres)	Percent Percent of Completed State
in g pagalahin g pendingan bilang balang		
Summit	1,188,660	100 2.25
Wasatch	762,240	100
Morgan	390,400	100 0.74
Rich	654,720	100 1.24
Duchesne	2,083,900	100 3.95
Wayne	1,591,040	100 3.02
Daggett	438,680	100 0.83
Garfield	3,318,400	100 6.29
Kane	2,570,240	100 4.88
Emery	2,844,580	100 5.40
Millard	4,347,520	100 8.25
Uintah	2,862,080	86 4.67
	371,840	45 0.32
Weber		5 0.42
Tooele	4,430,720	
Washington	1,553,280	80 2.36
		Total 46.07

Note: Since the initial counties reappraised are largely rural, where travel time and costs are proportionately higher, this report reflects an additional perspective on the reappraisal program.

Appeals and Reviews for Biennium

Informal Hearing before the Tax Commission: Land – 223 Buildings – 135

Special field Inspections by Staff:

Land - 2,273 Buildings - 1,615

1969 Farmland Assessment Act (59-5-86 to 105, UCA 1953 as amended)

Number of acres classified in Biennium -	6,620,567
Number of separate field inspections due to appeals –	295

The Farmland Evaluation Advisory Committee, in cooperation with the Utah State University, conducted an additional study which resulted in two recommendations being adopted by the State Tax Commission:

- 1. It was found that meadow land producing wild hay, grasses, etc., and which could be cropped only once during the season did not have sufficient productive value to remain in the No. IV Irrigated Classification. To correct this, a new classification termed "Meadow Land" was created and a new lower productive value placed thereon.
- 2. A further study was conducted on the productive values of orchard ground. Prior to this time, most orchard ground had been classified under either No. III or No. IV Irrigated ground. The study conducted in those counties having commercial fruit crops revealed that they had an even greater productive value than the No. I Irrigated Classification.

Schedules on these new values have been forwarded to the county assessors, and the tax commission staff is now correcting the county plats to reflect these two general changes.

Canadiana & ret prophysic in a factor of

(1975年) Administration (1984年) Hope Lander (1985年) Lander (1985年

e er og og måregliggigg filgte er og selftagt flaret i som er for

noiseinmold as Forti moltes general formalmi - 18 Septemblick

The continues of the co

1968 feet and Assessor And 69-596 to 105, UCA 1953 at Accordan

Negation of artes classified in Burnman.
Suppose of separate for a special are the armapests.

Twenty-First Biennial R

PERSONAL

Senate Bill 20 (required the state tax personal property accounts once each five accomplished this task a

Coupled with the out, and this year will se along with other person cash value. On January 1, 1971 it was reduced January 1, 1973 and the as provided by section 5

The total assessed v state of Utah Dec. 31, 1 31, 1970 this figure ros 1971, it was \$314,435,6 though the inventories instead of 26% at the eleptoperty and the values the above totals. The in period was \$15,021,36 vehicles of \$2,168,433.

Inventories have no accounting reports from have been combined w determine the precise an the tax rolls as a result of amount of inventory at out was probably about the results of the perso departmental records whi

Committee, in cooperation I an additional study which adopted by the State Tax

roducing wild hay, grasses, only once during the season value to remain in the No. o correct this, a new and" was created and a new geon.

on the productive values of e, most orchard ground had b. III or No. IV Irrigated in those counties having hat they had an even greater origated Classification.

we been forwarded to the n staff is now correcting the changes.

PERSONAL PROPERTY AUDIT PROGRAM

Senate Bill 20 (59-5-110) passed by the 1969 Legislature required the state tax commission to audit twenty per cent of all personal property accounts in the state each year in order to cover all accounts once each five years. The tax commission has successfully accomplished this task and has continuously kept current with it.

Coupled with the audit program has been the inventory phase out, and this year will see the end of taxes on inventories. Inventories along with other personal property items were taxed at 26% of fair cash value. On January 1, 1970 this was reduced to 20%. On January 1, 1971 it was reduced to 14%, January 1, 1972 to 8% and on January 1, 1973 and thereafter inventories will be exempt from tax, as provided by section 59-2-24, U.C.A., 1953.

The total assessed valuation of all personal property items in the state of Utah Dec. 31, 1969 was \$297,245,842. A year later, on Dec. 31, 1970 this figure rose to \$311,818,962 and at the end of Dec. 1971, it was \$314,435,644, for a total increase of \$17,189,802, even though the inventories in these figures were only assessed at 14% instead of 26% at the end of this period. Motor vehicles are personal property and the values of motor vehicles are therefore included in the above totals. The increase in valuation of motor vehicles in this period was \$15,021,369 leaving a net increase ignoring motor vehicles of \$2,168,433.

Inventories have not been recorded as a separate item in the accounting reports from the counties to the state tax commission but have been combined with fixtures so it has been impossible to determine the precise amount of assessment valuation dropped from the tax rolls as a result of the inventory phase out program, but the amount of inventory at assessed value on the tax rolls before phase out was probably about \$50,000,000. A more precise way of testing the results of the personal property audit program is given from departmental records which show the following by fiscal years:

State Tax Commission

		•					
Salt Lake	937	5,818,260	7,784,829	349,056	1,617,513	1,966,569	Τ
San Iuan	20	65,433	94,410	3,744	25,233	28,977	`we
Samoete	ည်	78,690	130,026	33,892	17,444	51,336	nty
Sevier	65	155,019	197,929	13,315	29,595	42,910	/- F
Summit	40	166,053	310,116	19,099	124,964	144,063	irst
Tooele	် ကြ	365,611	560,218	8,692	185,915	194,607	Bi
Uintah	ිල	429,054	523,714	39,164	55,496	94,660	enn
Utah	692	2,666,703	3,472,012	223,457	581,852	805,309	ial
Washington	45	78,491	107,464	8,671	20,302	28,973	Re

	Audits	Taxpayers	Audit	Increases in	Other	Net
	Made	Reports	Reports	Inventories	Increases	Increase
Beaver	4	187,256	184,823	3,590	(6,023)	(2,433)
Box Elder	214	1,103,162	1,308,529	63,267	142,100	205,367
Cache	162	769,943	926,057	11,085	145,029	156,114
Carbon	43	62,149	115,115	21,718	31,248	52,966
Daggett	9	30,073	30,885	(2)	814	812
Davis	282	1,012,485	1,339,912	121,969	205,458	327,427
Duchesne	45	231,792	272,405	7,901	32,712	40,613
Emery		9,725	775,693	0	765,968	765,968
Garfield	26	52,702	93,157	12,652	27,803	40,455
Grand	57	122,397	172,329	9,528	40,404	49,932
Iron	29	172,178	271,902	18,562	81,162	99,724
Juab	31	51,400	60,029	1,733	968'9	8,629
Kane	9	28,000	33,919	751	5,168	5,919
Millard	59	140,530	181,542	24,193	16,819	41,012
Morgan	71	32,933	35,902	(3)	2,972	2,969
Piute	Ţ	30,881	39,776	(3,049)	11,944	8,895
Rich	20	133,970	158,228	5,144	14,114	19,258

1,966,569	28,977	51,336	42,910	144,063	194,607	94,660	805,309	28,973	68,373	5,791	838,440	6,093,635
1,617,513	25,233	17,444	29,595	124,964	185,915	55,496	581,852	20,302	58,683	5,468	625,769	4,872,822
349,056	3,744	33,892					223,457		069,6	323	212,671	1,220,813
7,784,829	94,410	130,026	197,929	310,116	560,218	523,714	3,472,012	107,464	252,041	26,737	2,822,162	22,276,861
5,818,260	65,433	78,690	155,019	166,053	365,611	429,054	2,666,703	78,491	183,668	20,946	1,983,722	16,183,226
937	20	55	65	40	85	69	692	45	33	∞	495	3,612
Salt Lake	San Juan	Sanpete	Sevier	Summit	Tooele	Uintah	Utah	Washington	Wasatch	Wayne	Weber	Totals-1970

			1971			
						;
	Audits	Taxpayers	Audit	Increase in	Other	Net
	Made	Reports	Reports	Inventories	Increases	Increases
			· 4			2 0 7 1
Beaver	36	70,643	115,993	16,290	29,060	45,550
Bov Flder	106	260.701	408,884	59,825	88,358	148,183
Dox made		479 780	613.561	24,740	109,001	133,831
Cacilic	100 100	86 587	169 930	15,424	60,919	76,343
Carbon	о м Н	17.457	19 466	125	1,884	2,009
Daggett	C 200	762 907	1 087 979	94.410	178,955	273,365
Davis	2, 0, 4, 0, 8, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4,	103,507	159 149	11,014	46,298	57,312
Ducnesne	6	99,997	26.105	(1,243)	5,121	3,878
Emery	27	910 205	262,52	1,508	47,752	49,260
Garneia	2 2 4 7	84 978	198 536	8,466	35,792	44,258
Grand	H 0	116749	165 641	7.079	41,813	48,892
Iron	0F 76	74.495	85.057	2,139	8,493	10,632
Juan	+ 4 -	98.777	45.255	6,111	10,367	16,478
Kane	10	196768	167.046	9,171	31,110	40,281
Millard	0 6 F	21,003	34 759	137	2,729	2,866
Morgan	10	21,000 87,090	7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	63	18,786	18,849
Finte F. 1	77	108 940	99.037	(20.278)	11,075	(9,203)
Kich Salt Lake	1,447	13,364,160	16,131,878	900,199	1,867,519	2,767,718

20

State Tax Commission

T	we	nty	-Fi	rst	Bie	nn	ial	R
44,371	51,755	174,256	15,212	40,787	49,963	1,340,214	49,470	111 887
38,712	41,784	168,958	14,917	38,071	36,977	1,202,281	39,894	107 109
5,659	9,971	5,298	295	2,716	12,986	137,933	9,576	10111
294,283	220,973	343,370	46,962	110,464	128,598	17,847,088	86,651	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
249.912	169.218	169,114	31.750	69.677	78,635	16.506,874	37,181	
38	69	1	66	7.2 7.9	4.	532	 	1
Can Luan	Camete	Compete	OCVICE Grammit		Tintab	Omitani Hrsb	Utan Waatch	Wasaluii
	. •			_				

CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE

Section of a comment of the comment

A Charles of the TOOK

44,258	48,892	10,632	16,478	,40,281	2,866	18,849	(9,203)	2,767,718
35,792	41,813	8,493	10,367	31,110	2,729	18,786	11,075	1,867,519
8,466	7,079	2,139	6,111	9,171	137		(20,278)	900,199
		85,057						, ,
84,278	116,749	74,425	28,777	126,765	31,893	37,020	108,240	13,364,160
34	48	24	16	48		12	T	1,447
Grand	Iron	Juab	Kane	Millard	Morgan	Piute	Rich	Salt Lake

44,371	51,755	174,256	15,212	40,787	49,963	1,340,214	49,470	141,887	4,707	738,991	****	6,381,915		**************************************	000.10	40.00	0.13,00g		
38,712	41,784	826,891	14,917	38,071	36,977	202,281	39,894	127,423	4,813	573,156	を受け なない	∂ 4,882,108 °.	のから、なきの、つ		10 34 70 10	087.780 	1607 Pro-		eris Direction Commission Design
5,659	9,971	,298 🗀 1	295	2,716	986	137,933	9,576	14,464 201	$(106)^{44}$		1200	7	******	100	33	C00, 1	071.02		
£5		10			12,986					165,835	MAL LAK	1,499,80	086733	\$2.2.20 E	1200			700	
294,283	220,973	343,370	46,962	110,464	128,598	17,847,088	86,651	359,820	27,436	2,300,800	19 19 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	41,491,441			74 100		O.S.	6 6 6 7	-1 -1 -1
249,912	169,218	169,114	31,750	69,677	78,635	16,506,874	37,181	217,933	22,729	1,561,809	1 30 50 E	35,109,526							
38	.62	61	22	59	54	532	25	52	4	373		3,650					行物である。		
								**.	÷.	14	./s	971			s.i				ä.
San Iuan	Sannete	Sevier	Summit	Tooele	Uintah	Utah	Wasatch	Washington	Wavne	Weber		Totals - 1971							,

	Audits	s Taxpayers	Audit	Audit	Audit	Increase in	Other	Z
	Made	Reports	Reports	Decrease	Increase	Inventories	7	Increases
							l	41101 04303
Beaver	41	136,297	150,026	7,339	91 061	9 869	11 167	
Row Filder	100	0070750		1006	4 1,00 t	4,004	11,10/	
Don Eluci	170	2,042,083	4,090,823	12,867	461,007	197,210	250,930	
Cacne	147	610,222	961,100	8,379	359,257	26.176	324.702	
Carbon	52	91,741	124,360	12,571	45,190	4,889	97.730	39 619
Daggett	ĸΩ	15,210	17,810	317	2,917	148	2.459	
Davis	291	1,184,875	1,665,509	72,250	552,884	70,762	409,872	
Duchesne	18	30,915	1,117,707	1	1,086,792	1,244	1.085.548	
Emery	€ 7.28	38,327	127,641	1,376	069'06	1.291	88.023	
Garfield	36	93,772	133,891	15,337	55,456	2.285	37.834	
Grand	ıΩ	1,791,077	1,803,027	71,869	83,819	21.672	(6 799)	
Iron	496	53,371	588,190	551	535,370	9 890	531 999	
Juab	26	105,161	128,135	3.774	26.748	9 604	90.870	
Kane	22	35,726	35,205	9,650	9,129	924	(1 445)	(42,574
Millard	57	177,670	245,456	1,940	69.726	15.203	70 783	
Morgan	19	172,873	158,990	58,242	44.359	(356)	(18 897)	
Piute	13	49.590	988 09	9 260	00100	(220)	(13,041)	
Rich	0 1	100 100	000,00	6,200	29,184	(110)	26,926	
1. T. 1.	CT .	100,000	194,6/3	9,671	20,644	52	10,921	
зап таке	1,633	10,609,768	12,348,615	579,614	2,318,461	282,862	1,455,985	

	T	w	ent	y-I	irs	t B	ien	nia
17 897	100,11	33,022	31,681	328,839	36,786	65,265	798,916	169 136
15 450	10,100	29,853	22,883	327,924	36,016	52,002	646,043	165.256
2.087		5,169	8,798	915	770	13,263	152,873	3,880
31,083	42 174	±0,1/4	41,264	339,905	39,283	73,161	1,562,178	183,621
13,546	10.159	1010	9,583	11,066	2,497	7,896	763,262	14,485
152,759	142,014	167 190	101,103	120,934	91,032	210,370	3,546,546	410,211
135,222	108,992	1 2 K 4 K R	07,004	71,000 71,016	146,1040	0 142,105 0 777 690	2,747,03U 941,07E	6/0,147
	14			CAN .	60 g		4	

San Juan Sanpete Sevier Summit Tooele Uintah Utah Wasatch

Iron	496	53,371	588.190	551	535,370	2,890	531,929	534,819
11011		7 1000	00000	i)		•		
Inab	26	105,161	128,135	3,774	26,748	2,604	20,370	22,974
Kane	22	35,726	35,205	9,650	9,129	924	(1,445)	(521)
Millard	57	177,670	245,456	1,940	69,726	15,203	52,583	67,786
Morgan	19	172,873	158,990	58,242	44,359	(326)	(13,527)	(13,883)
Piute	13	42,520	69,336	2,368	29,184	(110)	26,926	26,816
Rich	13	183,700	194,673	9,671	20,644	52	10,921	10,973
Salt Lake	1,633	10,609,768	12,348,615	579,614	2,318,461	282,862	1,455,985	1,738,847

17,537	33,022	31,681	328,839	36,786	65,265	798,916	169,136	73,639	8,519	404,464	6,912,390
15,450	29,853	22,883	327,924	· 36,016	52,002	646,043	165,256	066'92	7,994	358,140	6,050,829
2,087	3,169	8,798	915	1.022	13,263	152,873	3,880	(3,351)	*525 ∤	46,324	861,561
31,083	43,174	41,264	339,905	39,283	73,161	1,562,178	183,621	101,041	11,228	443,871	8,682,503
13,546	10,152	9,583	11,066	2,497	7,896	763,262	14,485	27,402	2,709	39,407	1,770,113
152,759	142,014	167,139	425,934	91,632	210,370	3,546,546	410,211	258,784	16,135	973,416	30,355,434
135,222	108,992	135,458	97,095	54,846	145,105	2.747.630	241.075	185,145	7,616	568,952	23,443,044
										402	ا جث
San Juan	Sanpete	Sevier	Summit	Tooele	Uintah	Utah	Wasatch	Washington	Wayne	Weber	1972 Totals

Results of Personal Property Audits

	1970	1971	1972	
では、 ・	08/9	6/30	6/30	Total
Audits made		3,650	4,342	11,604
Assessed Valuation based upon	66 × 416 183 996	625 100 596	\$98 448 044	\$74.725.796
A seessed voluntion often andit	#10,103,5±0 (#99,976,061)	♦ 00,100,000 ♦ 00,100,000 ♦ 41,401,401	#40,011,011 #40,041	#0.1,00,100 #0.4,100,100
Assessed variation after auditions	#42,470,001 	144,191,441	#67,000,000	\$34,123,130
Increases in inventories	\$ 1,220,813	\$ 1,499,807	\$ 861,561	\$ 3,582,181
Increases other than inventories	\$ 4,872,822	\$ 4,882,108	\$ 6,050,829	\$15,805,759
Total net increase	\$ 6,093,635	\$ 6,381,915	\$ 6,912,390	\$19,387,940

The audit program disclosed not only personal property which had been underassessed or not assessed at all, but also personal property which had been overassessed. A study was made of the 1972 audit. This disclosed the fact that while the increases for that year amounted to \$8,682,503, reductions in assessed value which totaled \$1,770,113 were also established. The net result was an increase of \$6,912,390. Some taxpayers had their taxes reduced as a result of the audit but most had them increased.

EXCISE TA BY TH

MER TRE

disclosed the fact that while the increases for that year amounted to 08 \$8,682,503, reductions in assessed value which totaled \$1,770,113 were also established. The net result was an increase of \$6,912,390. Some taxpayers had their taxes reduced as a result of the audit but been underassessed or not assessed at all, but also personal property The audit program disclosed not only personal property which had most had them increased

SHARLIN OF EXCISE TAXES AND FEES ADMINIST FRED BY THE STATE TAX COMMISSION

With Responded to Region Statutory Assessments

AUTOMORIA DATER

Rule of Tox

Selection first engineers by the owner of each motor votide can't each

Applicable on Contex or operation.

Disposition of Personal Automobile driver encourse with antices school field.

Carations:

SUMMARY OF **EXCISE TAXES AND FEES ADMINISTERED**

BY THE STATE TAX COMMISSION Links of Litals.

BEER KAY

Reserved in

18 10 per bill on all been it was know The second of th The action of the following the contract of the Co

The configuration of the confi

SUMMARY OF EXCISE TAXES AND FEES ADMINISTERED BY THE STATE TAX COMMISSION

With References to Recent Statutory Amendments

AUTOMOBILE DRIVER EDUCATION TAX

Rate of Tax: \$1.25 upon first registration by the owner

of each motor vehicle each year.

Applicable to:

Owner or operator.

Disposition of Revenue: Automobile driver education account

within uniform school fund.

Citations:

Sections 41-1-144 through 41-1-146,

U.C.A., 1953.

Toback of 1 with

As amended by Chapter 94, Laws of Utah,

1971, effective for the year 1972.

BEER TAX

Rate of Tax:

\$3.10 per bbl. on all beer; 31 gallon barrel

standard measure; licensing

jurisdiction of liquor commission.

Applicable to:

All beer imported or manufactured for sale. use or distribution in Utah. Monthly reports required of every brewer,

wholesaler or distributor manufacturing or

importing beer.

Disposition of Revenue: State general fund.

Citations:

Sections 32-6-1 to 32-6-19, U.C.A., 1953.

As amended by Chapter 70, Laws of Utah,

1971, effective July 1, 1971.

CIGARETTE AND TOBACCO PRODUCTS TAXES

Rate of Tax:

Eight cents per pack of cigarettes, 1 cent per pack of 50 papers (provision for taxing cigarettes weighing more than 3 lbs. per M is not in use as such cigarettes are not on market); \$10.00 license for retailers and dealers; tobacco products other than cigarettes are taxed at the rate of 25% of manufacturer's sale price.

Applicable to:

Sale, use, storage or consumption of products. tobacco and cigarettes Wholesalers and distributors purchase stamps or use cigarette stamping machines for payment of tax on cigarettes and cigarette papers. Quarterly returns required of dealers liable for payment of tax on other tobacco products.

Disposition of Revenue: State general fund. 建氯化铁 南北

Citations:

Sections 59-18-1 to 59-18-19, U.C.A., 1953.

As amended by Chapter 188, Laws of Utah, 1969. Effective July 1, 1969.

CORPORATION FRANCHISE TAX

Parras di 1904 Rate of Tax:

Six per cent of net income allocated to Utah; \$25.00 minimum tax.

Ansold its to the

Applicable to: Corporations having income allocable to Utah or exercising corporate franchise in state. Tax is prepaid for privilege of doing business in Utah. Special provisions for agricultural cooperatives and national banks.

Disposition of Revenue: Uniform school fund.

Citations: Sections 59-13-1 through 59-13-64, U.C.A., 1953.

As amended by Chapter 123, Laws of Utah 1965. Effective for all taxable years Utah, 1965. Effective for all taxable years beginning after Dec. 31, 1964. Uniform Allocation of Business Income Act enacted by Chapter 158, Laws of Utah, 1967. In effect Jan. 1, 1967. may a man in the manife the

Twenty-First Biennial R

CORPORATION INCOM

Rate of Tax:

Applicable to:

Disposition of Revenue:

bas 600 r f read

No personal tradition

Citations:

INDIVIDUAL INCOME

Table Countries (Carl

sionidare to toric Rate of Tax:

WILLIAMS

To remain and port

1961 16 1/33 TH Figures describes

ATCL, Lyble of

Applicable to:

progress; process; no emiliante ou inte accinement This is the chair

an wearstaud trades. tidai.

Disposition of Revenue

Citations:

29

Twenty-First Biennial Report

more than 3 lbs. per M h cigarettes are not on cense for retailers and products other than at the rate of 25% of

price.

or consumption of tobacco products. distributors purchase tte stamping machines ax on cigarettes and arterly returns required or payment of tax on cts.

to 59-18-19, U.C.A.,

hapter 188, Laws of e July 1, 1969.

income allocated to um fax.

income allocable to corporate franchise in for privilege of doing cial provisions for agriand national banks.

ugh 59-13-64, U.C.A.,

apter 123, Laws of for all taxable years 31, 1964. Uniform s Income Act enacted vs of Utah, 1967. In

CORPORATION INCOME TAX

golf) armen the me extracted, they granted and

Rate of Tax: Six per cent of net income allocated to Utah.

AND THE RESERVE TO THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF

Applicable to the Corporations deriving income from sources within Utah and not subject to the corporation franchise tax.

tenise tax.

Disposition of Revenue: Uniform school fund.

Citations: Sections 59-13-65 through 59-13-72, has 180,00% mai 26 , U.C.A., 1953.

distribution As amended by Chapter 123, Laws of Utah, 1965. Effective for all taxable years beginning after Dec. 31, 1964.

INDIVIDUAL INCOME TAX

ិសសស្គម សម្រាប់ (ស្រួស _{គ្}ស

Rate of Tax:

Two per cent of first \$1,000 net taxable income, plus 3% of second, plus 4% of third, plus 5% of fourth, plus 6% of fifth, plus 6½% of amount exceeding \$5,000; personal exemptions, \$600 additional exemption allowed for persons age 65 or over, deductions and exemptions differ from federal provisions; tax offsets for income taxes paid to another state.

Applicable to:

Resident individuals and fiduciaries having gross income exceeding statutory amounts; nonresident individuals and fiduciaries having defined earnings within Utah; employers liable for employees withholding tax at a percentage (fixed by Tax Commission) of federal withholding requirement or according to Commission optional tables; monthly withholding tax prepayments required upon Commission order.

Disposition of Revenue: Uniform school fund.

Citations:

Sections 59-14-1 to 59-14-72, U.C.A.,

1953.

As amended by Chapter 125, Laws of Utah, 1965. Effective on all returns filed on or after Jan. 1, 1966 for taxable years commencing on or after Jan. 1, 1965. Also Chapter 160, Laws of Utah, 1967, Chapter 185, Laws of Utah, 1969; and amended by Chapter 186, Laws of Utah, 1969.

Effective July 1, 1969.

INHERITANCE TAX

to event ittel

dasay aldi sa car.

Rate of Tax:

Five per cent of net value of estate not exceeding \$35,000, 8% over \$35,000 and not exceeding \$85,000, 10% in excess of \$85,000; net determined after certain deductions and a \$40,000 exemption.

Applicable to:

Transfer of property of decedent. Safety box inventories required. deposit Information returns required of executors.

STATE OF THE PARTY Disposition of Revenue: State general fund.

I THE HOLD .

AND TO We pale to the server

and her

Citations: Sections 59-12-1 to 59-12-44, 67-4-2, U.C.A., 1953.

As amended by Chapter 148, Laws of Utah, 1971. Effective date July 1, 1971. Figure forth as of blist, excit one as:

INSURANCE PREMIUM TAX

solved constraint has demonstrate and special appropriate the solution of the Rate of Tax: Two and one-fourth per cent of net eastern lat alian premiums upon property and risks located that! and we again the Utah, subject to retaliatory provisions, and one per cent of total premiums on workman's Compensation and occupational disease insurance.

Applicable to: Every insurance company doing business in brings: Business Utah. goldenserve

and the first reading to separate the first see as Disposition of Revenue: State general fund, fireman's pension fund and combined injury and benefit fund.

Citations: Sections 31-14-4, 31-14-7, 31-21-2, 35-1-68 and 49-6-2, U.C.A., 1953.

Twenty-First Biennial

LOCAL OPTION SALI AND USE TAX

Rate of Tax:

Applicable to:

Disposition of Revenue:

Citations:

MILEAGE FEE និយាសស្មាធិសាល ស្រួច

maintagram, e.

京集新名称诗书。 计连续分配

· 1110、1174的数数数数

atamata il

Rate of Tax:

Applicable to:

Disposition of Revenue: 100.002 pendini deser Sens bus saggene an

Citation:

District the state of the state MINE OCCUPATION TA

Abrid Advert Spat

Proposition of the section of the se Rate of Tax: i i kalendar i kalendar dele

Chapter 125, Laws of tive on all returns filed , 1966 for taxable years after Jan. 1, 1965. Also of Utah, 1967, Chapter , 1969; and amended by aws of Utah, 1969. 169.

net value of estate not , 8% over \$35,000 and 5,000, 10% in excess of ermined after certain ,10,000 exemption.

ty of decedent. Safety inventories required. s required of executors.

to 59-12-44, 67-4-2,

Chapter 148, Laws of e date July 1, 1971.

rth per cent of net perty and risks located retaliatory provisions, of total premiums on ompensation and insurance.

ipany doing business in

fireman's pension fund and benefit fund.

14-7, 31-21-2, 35-1-68 953.

As amended by Chapter 66, Laws of Utah, 1971. Effective July 1, 1971.

LOCAL OPTION SALES an ar ar agird farely AND USE TAX

Rate of Tax:

One-half of 1% of purchase price on same transactions as the state sales and use tax laws; Tax Commission acts as agent for local governmental units.

Applicable to:

Same base as state sales and use tax. Retailers liable for tax collections. Purchasers liable for payment of tax on private sales of motor vehicles at time of registration.

Disposition of Revenue: To the county, city or town which levies

the tax.

Citations:

Sections 11-9-1 to 11-9-11, U.C.A., 1953.

MILEAGE FEE

Rate of Tax:

One-half cent per mile traveled in Utah by commercial motor vehicles with declared gross weight of 25,000 lbs. or less, ranging up to 1½ cents per mile for vehicles weighing 60,001 lbs. and over, \$5 per mo. min.; \$5 annual registration and \$1.25 automobile driver's education fee required.

Applicable to:

Qualified commercial motor vehicle operators; used in lieu of annual

registration fees.

Disposition of Revenue: State

highway construction and

maintenance fund.

Citation:

Section 41-1-88, U.C.A., 1953.

MINE OCCUPATION TAX

Rate of Tax:

One per cent of gross value of products of metalliferous mines and metalliferous claims; 2% applicable to products of oil and gas wells; value fixed at place produced; \$50,000 annual exemption.

Applicable to: Occupation of mining ore or metals or producing oil or gas.

Disposition of Revenue: State general fund.

Citations: Sections 59-5-66 through 59-5-85, U.C.A.,

MOTOR FUEL TAX

Rate of Tax: Seven cents per gal. (gasoline); 4 cents per gal. (aviation fuel); limited governmental

gal. (aviation fuel); limited governmental exemption; \$1.00 annual license fee for distributors; 2% evaporation allowance; refunds for affilial.

refunds for off-highway agricultural use.

Applicable to: Sale or use of motor fuels. Importers,

refiners and distributors liable for reporting and paying tax to State Tax Commission

Disposition of Revenue: Highway construction and maintenance

fund, motor boat fuel fund and

aeronautical fund.

Citations: Sections 41-11-1 through 41-11-21,

41-11-33, U.C.A., 1953.

As amended by Chapters 116, 117 and 118;

Laws of Utah, 1969.

MOTOR VEHICLE BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Fees: New motor vehicle dealer's license, \$60.00;

used motor vehicle dealer's license, \$60.00; new motorcycle, motor scooter and small trailer dealer, \$40.00; used motorcycle, motor scooter and small trailer dealer, \$40.00; motor vehicle salesman's license, \$5.00; motor vehicle manufacturer's license, \$40.00; motor vehicle transporter's

license, \$40.00; motor vehicle wrecker's license, \$40.00; distributor, factory branch,

Applicable to:

Disposition of Revent

A. Oak aking

Citations:

MOTOR VEHICLE CONTROL FUND

Rate of Fees:

Applicable to:

Disposition of Revenue

Citations:

MOTOR VEHICLE RE(

e Administration in

restriction and

Rate of Fees:

irtilazir a

Server of all

Twenty-First Biennial Report

ed at place produced; nption.

hing ore or metals or

rough 59-5-85, U.C.A.,

(gasoline); 4 cents per limited governmental innual license fee for vaporation allowance: /ay agricultural use.

otor fuels. Importers, ors liable for reporting te Tax Commission

on and maintenance fuel fund and

through 41-11-21, 53.

ters 116, 117 and 118;

ealer's license, \$60.00; ealer's license, \$60.00; tor scooter and small 0; used motorcycle, small trailer dealer, le salesman's license, manufacturer's r vehicle transporter's or vehicle wrecker's outor, factory branch,

distributor branch, \$60.00; representative \$25.00; motor vehicle salesman's transfer or reissue fee, \$5.00; dealer plates, \$5.00; dealer plates (purchased after July 1 of current year), wrecker, \$3.00; manufacturer or transporter plates, \$3.00.

Applicable to:

Persons, businesses or conditions stated above.

Disposition of Revenue: State general fund.

Citations:

Sections 41-3-2 through 41-3-27, U.C.A., 1953. - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1

As amended by Chapter 82, Laws of Utah,

1965.

MOTOR VEHICLE CONTROL FUND

Rate of Fees:

Fees for motor vehicle certificates of title,

\$1.00 duplicate certificates of title or

registration, \$1.00.

Applicable to:

Owners or operators.

Disposition of Revenue: Highway construction and maintenance

fund.

Citations:

Sections 41-1-133, 41-1-137 and 41-1-141,

U.C.A., 1953.

As amended by Chapter 79, Laws of Utah,

1967. Effective July 1, 1967.

MOTOR VEHICLE REGISTRATION

Rate of Fees:

Five dollars for ordinary passenger cars, \$2.50 for motorcycles, commercial vehicles on gross laden weight schedules, ranging from \$7.50 to \$520.00 for combinations of weight from 6,000 lbs. to 75,001 lbs. or over, trailers over 750 lbs. \$5.00, trailers 750 lbs. or less \$2.50 (optional); some reduced fees for less than one year

Applicable to:

Twenty-First Biennial Rel

operation; special identifications may be arranged for members of National Guard radio amateur and citizens band station operators, horseless carriage operators and handicapped persons; see also mileage fees, 96 hour temporary permits and automobile driver's education.

Applicable to:

Owner or operator.

Lisallouls (00.18

THE PARTY OF STREET

Disposition of Revenue: Highway construction and maintenance

fund.

Citations:

Sections 41-1-127 and 41-1-141, U.C.A.,

As amended by Chapter 79, Laws of Utah, 1967. Effective July 1, 1967. For registration and taxation of campers, see Chapter 105, Laws of Utah, 1971, Chapter 9, Laws of Utah, 1972. Also Chapter 10, Laws of Utah, 1972.

NINETY-SIX HOUR Hill P. Walawid. **TEMPORARY PERMITS**

Rate of Fee:

Three per cent of annual registration fee for commercial motor vehicles, minimum of \$3.00 for single units and \$5.00 for multiple units.

主会影響 新聞 美国达哥疆 Applicable to:

Qualified nonresident commercial motor vehicle operators in lieu of annual registration.

Disposition of Revenue: Highway construction and maintenance fund.

Citations: Sections 41-4-88 and 41-1-89, U.C.A., OLEOMARGARINE TAX

and though in all cools ing it was the Rate of Tax: Five cents per lb. on uncolored oleomargarine, 10 cents per lb. on colored. man 45.44 42.4 0/2

Disposition of Revenue:

Citations:

PUBLIC SERVICE REGULATION FEE

Rate of Fee:

AND REAL AS IN

Maria Arrell Applicable to:

water to pain and

is LarralloD and

Disposition of Revenue: St

Citations:

C. 2026 Page 1

SALES TAX

Rate of Tax:

to the standard that

.taı sal am

pas

Fo

 $\mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{c}}$

19

Αı

19

raecessa infli

ial identifications may be embers of National Guard and citizens band station less carriage operators and rsons; see also mileage fees, ary permits and automobile on.

or.

ruction and maintenance

27 and 41-1-141, U.C.A.,

Chapter 79, Laws of Utah, e July 1, 1967. For taxation of campers, see ws of Utah, 1971, Chapter h, 1972. Also Chapter 10, 172.

of annual registration fee motor vehicles, minimum ngle units and \$5.00 for

ident commercial motor rs in lieu of annual

action and maintenance

3 and 41-1-89, U.C.A.,

r lb. on uncolored cents per lb. on colored.

Applicable to:

Tax applies on first sale, use, storage or consumption in Utah; collected through sale of revenue stamps (changed from reporting basis 7-1-69); 4% discount on stamp purchases in excess of \$25.

Disposition of Revenue:

State general fund.

Citations:

Sections 59-21-1 to 59-21-17, U.C.A.,

1953.

Amended by Chapter 189, Laws of Utah, 1969. Effective July 1, 1969. Repealed by Chapter 154, Laws of Utah, 1971. Effective June 30, 1973.

PUBLIC SERVICE REGULATION FEE

Rate of Fee:

Prorata assessment, based upon Utah intrastate gross operating revenue, against public utilities for amount fixed by legislature for operation of public service commission; \$2.00 min., max., one-half per cent of revenue.

Applicable to:

All utilities subject to the jurisdiction of the public service commission of Utah. Utility furnishes report of revenue; Tax Commission determines fee.

Disposition of Revenue:

State general fund.

Citations:

Sections 54-5-1 through 54-4-4, U.C.A.,

1953.

Amended by Chapter 130, Laws of Utah, 1967. Effective July 1, 1967.

SALES TAX

Rate of Tax:

Four per cent of retail sales and rentals of tangible personal property; 4% of retail sales of meals, admissions to places of amusement, intrastate communication and passenger service, electric, gas and heat

36

State Tax Commission

utility service, hotel and motel accommodations and certain other services; extensive exemption provisions; retailer license issued without fee.

Applicable to:

Retail sale of tangible personal property and services listed above. Vendor collects the tax except that purchaser of a motor vehicle from a person other than a licensed dealer is liable for the payment of the tax at the time of registration.

Disposition of Revenue: State general fund.

Citations:

Sections 59-15-1 through 59-15-22, U.C.A., 1953.

As amended by Chapter 187, Laws of Utah, 1969; Chapter 14, First Special Session, Laws of Utah, 1969, Chapter 153, Laws of Utah, 1971.

SCHOOL LUNCH TAX

Rate of Tax:

da kunga

Barrio, si Labarovik.

Eight per cent of retail sales price of wines and distilled liquors sold by liquor control commission.

Applicable to:

Sales of wines and liquors. Collected at time of sale.

The applications only on

Disposition of Revenue: Uniform school fund to be apportioned to local boards of education for school lunches. LA DIVERSI DE L'AL MENOSE

Citations:

Sections 53-8-1 through 53-8-5, U.C.A., 1953.

As amended by Chapter 111, Laws of Utah, 1967. Effective July 1, 1967.

to the real man and the same

SPECIAL FUEL TAX

read terms are uniformal

defile what fitting

Tage

Rate of Tax:

Seven cents per gal. for fuel (other than gasoline) used in propelling motor vehicles upon highways in Utah; governmental Twenty-First Biennial Re

Applicable to:

Disposition of Revenue:

Citations:

TRANSIENT ROOM TA

Rate of Tax:

Applicable to:

Disposition of Revenue:

Citations:

USE TAX

Rate of Tax:

Applicable to:

Twenty-First Biennial Report

rvice. hotel and motel tions and certain other services; exemption provisions; retailer d without fee.

of tangible personal property listed above. Vendor collects ept that purchaser of a motor a person other than a licensed ble for the payment of the tax of registration.

I fund.

15-1 through 59-15-22, U.C.A.,

by Chapter 187, Laws of Utah, ter 14, First Special Session, 1, 1969, Chapter 153, Laws of

it of retail sales price of wines liquors sold by liquor control

es and liquors. Collected at

ol fund to be apportioned to of education for school

3-1 through 53-8-5, U.C.A.,

y Chapter 111, Laws of Utah, e July 1, 1967.

er gal. for fuel (other than in propelling motor vehicles ys in Utah; governmental

exemption; 4 cents per gal. for aircraft fuel.

Applicable to:

Sale or use of special (diesel) fuel. Dealers required to collect tax on fuel placed in service tanks of motor vehicles. Returns are required of all users.

initial initial contraction

Disposition of Revenue: Highway construction and maintenance fund. (ac)

Maria da Angayaa ya U Citations:

Sections 41-11-49 through 41-11-76. U.C.A., 1953.

As amended by Chapter 116, Laws of Utah, 1969. Effective July 1, 1969.

TRANSIENT ROOM TAX

Rate of Tax:

Up to 11/2% as fixed by county ordinance, of defined accommodation charges; Tax Commission acts as agent for counties.

Applicable to:

Persons doing business as motor courts, motels and hotels.

Disposition of Revenue: Counties which impose this tax establish, promote and finance recreational tourist and convention promotion bureaus.

Citations:

Sections 17-31-1 through 17-31-7, U.C.A.,

1953.

As enacted by Chapter 35, Laws of Utah,

1965.

USE TAX

Rate of Tax:

Four per cent of amount paid for tangible personal property purchased for use, consumption or storage in Utah; includes rentals in lieu of purchase and services of repair, renovation and certain installations of tangible personal property.

Applicable to:

Transactions indicated above. Licensed vendors liable for collection of tax; purchasers liable if not taxed by vendor.

Disposition of Revenue: State general fund.

Sections 59-16-1 through 59-16-25, U.C.A., Citations: 1953.

As amended by Chapter 163, Laws of Utah, 1967; Chapter 14, First Special Session, Laws of Utah, 1969. Effective July 1, 1969. arabia de enjur arabia i de arabade

· 持續時期 # 1 . el 1 computit o d'apple * par d

respublic vicinity to box and the defend approbation of the second

Length of the state of the confidence of Persons comby business as major courts, 三日 国的经济的 deliga banak 1940 . . .

of the research the respective to the research legislaster contail bis over four dakistor separate demonstration of the second

Sections 1 to 3 through 12 11-1, title. Childons.

The second of th Hall is and CS total (A Durant and

Charlenna

Address and the Meson's Later Later Trees condition of the plants of the determination nchitate de lect de purchase production de properties de la confederación de la confed Amount principles (Market to)

to to

EXCISE BY THE ST FOR T

if not taxed by vendor.

· Sisteman

through 59-16-25, U.C.A.,

hapter 163, Laws of Utah, 14, First Special Session, 39. Effective July 1, 1969.

下海 化比松精维多数

EXCISE TAXES COLLECTED
BY THE STATE TAX COMMISSION
FOR THE FISCAL YEARS
1971 – 1972

and the state of t

561,484 364,173

412

561,896 364,173

3,677,912 1,947,354 343,657 48,392,832

GROSS COLLECTIONS - REFIINDS & ADITIS

EXCISE TAXES

GROSS COLLECTIONS – REFUNDS & ADJUSTMENTS – AVAILABLE FOR DISTRIBUTION FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1971	4ENTS – AVAILA D JUNE 30, 1971	ABLE FOR DIST	RIBUTION
UNIFORM SCHOOL FUND	Gross Collections	Refunds & Adjustments	Net Available For Distribution
Individual Income Tax Corporation Franchise & Income Tax School Lunch Tax Total	\$ 67,527,217 11,656,564 2,004,737 81,188,518	\$5,643,701	\$ 61,883,516 11,127,260 2,004,737
GENERAL FUND Beer Tax	489 600	000,071,0	(2,015,515
Gigarette & Tobacco Products Tax	5,811,392	12 46,987	432,680 5,764,405
Inheritance Tax	3,596,567 5,064,939	2,982	3,593,586
Occupation Tax	4,576,822	328	3,004,923 4,576,494
Oleomargarine Tax	162,239	о л л л	162,234
Public Service Commission Fees	348,197	nec'e	971,186 348,197
Sales & Use Lax	101,405,590	169,286	101,236,304
1 Otal	122,373,168	223,159	122,150,009
HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE FUND Motor Fuel Tax	35,678,363 7,219,907	470,369 3,992	35,207,994 7,215,915

Motor Vehicle Reg. Fund		Special Fuel Tax 3,705,805 27,893 Mileage & Temp. Permit Fees 1,947,401 47 Motor Vehicle Control Fees 343,664 7 Total 7 7
-------------------------	--	---

974,736 974,736 348,197	101,405,590 $169,286$ $101,122,373,168$ $223,159$ $122,23$		Reg. Fund 35,678,363 470,369 35,207,994 7,219,907 3,992 7,215,915
Oleomargarine Tax Public Service Commission Fees Sales & Use Tax	Total	HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE FUND Motor Fuel Tax	Motor Vehicle Reg. Fund

			11.
3,677,912 1,947,354 343,657 48,392,832	561,484 364,173 (1,055 5,472 744,382 1,692,480 238,160 118,981 3,726,187	\$249,284,541 \$ 12,402,057 243,284 \$ 12,645,341	\$261,929,882
27,893 47 502,308	17,198 21,479 21,479 77 39,166	\$6,937,638 \$ 13,457 \$ 13,617	\$6,951,255
3,705,805 1,947,401 343,664 48,895,140	561,896 364,173 18,253 26,951 744,459 1,692,480 238,160 118,981 3,765,353	\$256,222,179 \$ 12,415,514 \$ 12,658,958	\$268,881,137
Special Fuel Tax Mileage & Temp. Permit Fees Motor Vehicle Control Fees Total	TRUST & AGENCY FUND Car & Bus Tax Fireman's Pension Sales Tax & Mileage Cash Bonds Tax Commission Suspense Driver's Education Tax Aeronautical Fund Boat Fuel Tax Combined Injury & Benefit Fund Total	TOTAL STATE TAXES. LOCAL TAX COLLECTION Uniform Local Sales & Use Tax Transient Room Tax Total	GRAND TOTAL

Twenty-First Biennial Report

42

EXCISE TAXES

GROSS COLLECTIONS — REFUNDS & ADJUSTMENTS —	MENTS - AVAIL	AVAILABLE FOR DISTRIBUTION	TRIBUTION
FISCAL YEAR ENDED TUNE 30, 1972	D JUNE 30, 1972	The second secon	
	Gross Gross Collections	Refunds & Adjustments	Net Available For Distribution
UNIFORM SCHOOL FUND	· 有學者為 · 一种		
Individual income Tax	\$ 80,015,604	\$5,919,121	\$ 74,096,483
Corporation Franchise & Income Tax	13,210,139	519,085	12,691,054
Total	2,202,340 95 498 689	6 438 906	2,202,940 88 990 483
	100,000	0,100,10	00,000
GENERAL FUND			
Beer Tax	1,212,655	753	1,211,902
Cigarette & Tobacco Products Tax	6,281,194	48,700	6,232,494
Inheritance Tax	2,826,329	9,382	2,816,947
Insurance Tax:	5,591,332	235	5,591,097
Occupation Tax	3,830,829	1	3,830,829
MVBA Fees	204,686	ι ς	204,681
Oleomargarine Tax	1,033,501	11,411	1,022,090
Public Service Commission Fees	426,909		426,909
Sales & Use Tax	117,827,376	141,250	117,686,126
Total Control of the	139,234,811	211,736	139,023,075
HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION MAINTENANCE FUND			
Worter Fuel Tax	38 698 085	474.597	38 223 558
Motor Vehicle Reg. Fund	8,114,361	2,321	8,112,040
Special Fuel Tax	4,432,290	34,209	4,398,081
Mileage & Temp. Permit Fees	2,346,784	236	2,346,548
Motor Venicle Control Fees	393,687		393,686
Lotal	53,985,207	511,294	53,473,913
TRUST & AGENCY FUND			
	627,144	83	627,061
Fureman's Pension	417,944	I	417,944

State Tax Commission

Mileage & Temp. Permit Fees	2,346,784 393,687 53,985,207	236 1 511,294	2,346,548 393,686 53,473,913
JST & AGENCY FUND			
Car & Bus Tax	627,144	83	627,061
Fireman's Pension	417,944	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	417,944
Sales Tax & Mileage Cash Ronds	10001	17 679	1,000

Twenty-First Bienni

		wenty	-2 Mac Dichinal Report	
204,681 1,022,090 426,909 117,686,126 139,023,075	38,223,558 8,112,040	4,398,081 2,346,548 393,686 53,473,913	627,061 417,944 1,222 20,190 995,586 1,630,645 248,198 390,387 4,331,233 \$285,818,704 \$14,369,001 \$14,681,193	\$300,499,897
11,411 141,250 211,736	474,527 2,321	34,209 236 1 511,294	83 17,673 26,391 36 44,183 \$7,205,419 \$ 15,811 \$ 16,102	\$7,221,521
204,686 1,033,501 426,909 117,827,376 139,234,811	38,698,085 8,114,361	4,432,290 2,346,784 393,687 53,985,207	627,144 417,944 18,895 46,581 995,622 1,630,645 248,198 390,387 4,375,416 \$293,024,123 \$14,384,812 \$14,697,295	\$307,721,418
MVBA Fees Oleomargarine Tax Public Service Commission Fees Sales & Use Tax Total	HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION MAINTENANCE FUND Motor Fuel Tax Motor Vehicle Reg. Fund	Special Fuel Tax	TRUST & AGENCY FUND Car & Bus Tax Fireman's Pension Sales Tax & Mileage Cash Bonds Tax Commission Suspense Driver's Education Tax Aeronautical Fund Boat Fuel Tax Combined Injury & Benefit Fund Total LOCAL TAX COLLECTIONS Uniform Local Sales & Use Tax Transient Room Tax Total LOCAL TAX COLLECTIONS	GRAND TOTAL

AUDITOR RETURNS

The hallowing schedule shows the deficiency assessments which come each interpretable of audie during the past bicumete

				* * *	10 (2) 10		7	
Transfill has t			hossil.					
Total Bridger, Alexander			OH T					
[TV#114] - 124[-			OT and					
ad ordina a polici			A(t, 1)					
亚亚马森巴里巴拉		U 2 1817	- 表达和反抗器 "儿子子	778 . ie .	3 3 3 3	- W - 1	the state of	
化光度 化二苯基甲基磺胺 化氯基			The season of the season of the	400 2	1 . 1	3 年 点。	C	
	-		the state of the s	3 A	7 C 3 S2	3 - 3	C	

gazenteka karanteka errakia biran baran b

THE CONTROL OF THE CO

the SERE TO SERVE AND A PROPERTY OF THE PROPER

weinder bei eine eine

AUDIT OF EXCISE TAX RETURNS

EXCISE TAX RETURNS

AUDIT OF RETURNS

The following schedule shows the deficiency assessments which were established as a result of audits during the past biennium.

	Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1971	Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1972
Sales and Use — State Tax	\$1,426,255.93	\$1,719,520.76
Sales and Use — Local ½ of 1%	202,317.11	254,748.95
Transient Room - County	2,235.61	2,121.33
Individual Income	1,789,922.32	2,008,732.85
Corporation Franchise	795,815.68	1,134,080.82
Inheritance	241,633.66	206,253.24
Motor Fuel	49,959.55	93,837.07
Special Fuel	42,038.05	69,988.20
Mileage Fee	41,854.25	41,847.13
Oleomargarine	7,482.00	11,485.40
Cigarette and Tobacco	4,702.81	1,139.62
Insurance	14,107.09	2,236.00
Beer	1,511.02	2,459.10
TOTAL	\$4,619,835.08	\$5,548,450.47

UNIFORM TO F(

≀NS

iciency assessments which the past biennium.

ear	Fiscal Year
d	Ended
1971	June 30, 1972
5 5.93	\$1,719,520.76
17.11	254,748.95
3 5.61	2,121.33
2 2.32	2,008,732.85
15.68	1,134,080.82
3 3.66	206,253.24
59 .55	93,837.07
3 8.05	69,988.20
54. 25	41,847.13
32.00	11,485.40
2.81	1,139.62
7.09	2,236.00
1.02	2,459.10
5.08	\$5,548,450.47

DISTRIBUTION OF UNIFORM LOCAL SALES AND USE TAX TO PARTICIPATING UNITS FOR THE FISCAL YEARS 1971 – 1972

For Fiscal Years 1970-71 and 1971-72

						State	Tax C	Comm	ission
Percent of increase or (Decrease)	65.00	15.24 11.80 92.54	20.95	15.92	348.64	414.65 403.61	10.45	(10.45)	9.98 9.98
Amount of increase or (Decrease)	\$ 4,459.28	2,160.36 1,004.91 2,053.38	\$ 5,218.65	\$ 59,728.13 \$ (97.660.59)	16,937.34	100,219.17 $$117,156.51$	\$ 19,495.99	\$ (269.45)	216.32 \$ 216.32
Net Distribution after Adm. Costs 7-1-71 to 6-30-72	\$ 11,319.60	16,338.84 9,522.11 14,272.25	\$ 30,133,20 \$ 2 41,452,80	434,926.25 59 994.79	21,795.40	124,388.47 \$ 146,183.87	\$ 206,108.59	\$ 2,309.96	2,383.69 \$ 2,383.69
Net Distribution after Adm. Costs 7-1-70 to 6-30-71	\$ 6,860.32	14,178.48 8,517.20 2,218.87	\$ 24,914.55			24,169.30 \$ 29,027.36	\$ 186,612.60	\$ 2,579.41	2,167.37 \$ 2,167.37
	UNIT Beaver County Cities & Towns	Beaver Milford	Total Gities & Towns Total Beaver County	Cache County	Cities & Towns Helper (1) see notes	Price (2) see notes	Total Carbon County including Cities & Towns	Daggett County	Manila Total Cities & Towns

Twent	y-First Biennial
(1.12)	15.85 13.34 14.51 28.22 11.39
\$ (53.13) \$ 12,494.44	40,761.37 3,281.10 10,373.59 361.78
4,693.65	297,985.71 27,879.91 81,859.08 1,643.69 999.10
\$ 4,746.78 \$ 39,746.53	257,224.34 24,598.81 71,485.49 1,281.91 896.93
Lotal Daggett County including Cities & Towns Davis County Cities & Towns	Bountiful Centerville Clearfield Clinton East Layton Farmington

per (1) see notes	CITICS & TOWILS							
24,169.30 124,388.47 100,219.17 29,027.36 \$ 146,183.87 \$117,156.51 \$ 186,612.60 \$ 206,108.59 \$ 19,495.99 \$ 2,579.41 \$ 2,309.96 \$ (269.45) \$ 2,167.37 \$ 2,383.69 \$ 216.32 \$ 2,167.37 \$ 2,383.69 \$ 216.32	per (1) see notes		4,858.06	-	21,795.40	16,937.34	348.64	
\$ 29,027.36 \$ 146,183.87 \$117,156.51 \$ 186,612.60 \$ 206,108.59 \$ 19,495.99 \$ 2,579.41 \$ 2,309.96 \$ (269.45) \$ 2,167.37 \$ 2,383.69 \$ 216.32 \$ 2,167.37 \$ 2,383.69 \$ 216.32	(2) see notes		24,169.30		124,388.47	100,219.17	414.65	
\$ 186,612.60 \$ 206,108.59 \$ 19,495.99 \$ 2,579.41 \$ 2,309.96 \$ (269.45) 2,167.37 2,383.69 \$ 216.32 \$ 2,167.37 \$ 2,383.69 \$ 216.32	Total Cities & Towns	6/)	29,027.36	69 :	146,183.87	\$117,156.51	403.61	
\$ 19,495.99 \$ 2,579.41 \$ 2,309.96 \$ (269.45) \$ 2,167.37 2,383.69 \$ 216.32 \$ 2,167.37 \$ 2,383.69 \$ 216.32	Total Carbon County			•				
\$ 2,579.41 \$ 2,309.96 \$ (269.45) \$ 2,167.37 2,383.69 \$ 216.32 \$ 2,167.37 \$ 2,383.69 \$ 216.32	cidaing cities & 10wns	#	180,012.60	A	206,108.59			
2,167.37 2,383.69 2,167.37 \$ 2,383.69	ggett County	€	2,579.41	69	2,309.96	\$ (269.45)		
2,167.37 2,383.69 2,16.32 5.16.32 \$ 2,167.37 \$ 2,383.69 \$ 216.32	es & Towns							
\$ 2,167.37 \$ 2,383.69			2,167.37		2,383.69			
	al Cities & Towns	€	2,167.37	69	2,383.69	64)		

													1				7 1							
(61.1)/	31.44	м О		14.51	28.22	11.39	9.84	13.29	19.15	24.93	(27.22)	Z1.82	.53	10.47*	1911	1114	15.1.1 15.7.0	71.01		16.36	115.29		112.10	94 96
\$ (53.13)	\$ 12,494.44	40.761.87	3.281.10	10,373.59	361.78	102.17	1,565.72	271.02	3,795.31	51,180.75	(7,1,0.09)	47.407	7 894 35	4 946 84	111.35	300:19	\$ 98.025.01	-		⊣ `	\$ 10,604.55		1,693.22	10.374.91
4,693.65	52,240.97	297,985.71	27,879.91	81,859.08	1,643.69	999.10	17,476.45	92 617 90	156 941 94	19,199,11	1 419.91	13,790.44	40,410.18	21,172.49	1,031.68	26,611.54	733,641.46		785 889 43	CH. 400,00.	19,802.47	, 2 909 £1	91 201 50	41,301.38
€9	€		** *			ù.				<u>.</u>			1250	<i>y</i> +	in.		€	e i	€	} €	#	. • •		
4,746.78	39,746.53	257,224.34	24,598.81	71,485.49	1,281.91	896.93	9.016,61	19.821.97	125,061.19	26,369.00	1,164.97	13,718.29	32,585.83	16,225.65	920.36	26,311.35	635,616.45		675.362.98	0 107 00	9,197.92	1.510.39	11.006.67	
₩	6∕)								4				(%				:	ijst t	€	€	; ⊋			
Total Daggett County including Cities & Towns	Davis County	Bountiful	itervillearfield	ton	t Layton	mington	it Heights	'sville	ton	th Salt Lake	th Weber	set	icuse		Woods Cross	Octal Cities of The control of the c	oral Cities & Lowns	otal Davis County	including Cities & Towns	nesne County	Cities & Towns	Altamont	Duchesne	

49

Twenty-First Biennial Report

Net Distribution Adm. Costs	SUMMARY OF DISTRIBUTION OF UNIFORM LOCAL SALES AND USE TAX TO PARTICIFATING CALLS SUMMARY OF DISTRIBUTION OF UNIFORM LOCAL SALES AND USE TAX TO PARTICIFATING CALLS	-ORM LOCAL SA	14		3	Percent of	0
\$ 644.45 \ 797.85 \ 18,018.04 \ 23.80 \ 53,555.90 \ 530.55 \ 530.55 \ 530.55 \ 530.55 \ 530.55 \ 530.55 \ 530.55 \ 530.55 \ 530.50 \ 530.55 \ 530.5		Net Distribution after Adm. Costs 7-1-70 to 6-30-71	Net afte 7-1-	Distribution r Adm. Costs 70 to 6-30-71	Amount of increase or (Decrease)	rercent or increase or (Decrease)	
\$ 67,194.26 \$ 97,486.93 \$ 30,292.67 45.08		644.45		797.85	•	23.80	
\$ 67,194.26 \$ 97,486.93 \$ 30,292.67 45.08 \text{ms}\$ \ \begin{array}{c} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		53,555.30		71,573.34	သွ	55.04	
\$ 76,392.18 \$ 117,289.40 \$ 40,897.22 53.53 \$ 3,071.59 \$ 6,275.65 \$ 3,204.06 104.31 4,210.83 6,461.30 2,250.47 53.44 6,81.39 1,568.37 883.98 129.73 2,761.30 17,210.98 2,678.23 18.43 14,532.75 9,016.36 1,472.96 102.62 1,435.35 \$ 42,600.32 \$ 12,660.09 42.28 \$ 33,011.82 \$ 48,875.97 \$ 15,864.15 48.06 \$ 7,804.76 \$ 9,675.43 \$ 15,864.15 23.97 \$ 155.40 215.97 266.65 50.68	niona	67	. ₩	97,486.93	30,2	45.08	
\$ 3,071.59 \$ 6,275.65 \$ 3,204.06 104.31 \$ 4,210.83 6,461.30	Total Duchesne County		¥	117 989 40		53.53	
4,210.83 6,461.30 2,250.47 53.44 849.85 1,588.00 738.15 86.86 681.39 1,565.37 1,088.70 39.43 2,761.30 1,565.37 1,088.70 39.43 14,532.75 1,210.98 2,678.23 18.43 1,485.35 2,908.31 1,472.96 102.62 1,435.35 42,600.32 \$ 12,660.09 42.28 \$ 29,940.23 \$ 48,875.97 \$ 15,864.15 48.06 \$ 7,804.76 \$ 9,675.43 \$ 1,870.67 23.97 \$ 7,804.76 \$ 9,675.43 \$ 1,870.67 23.97 \$ 215.97 266.65 50.68 23.47	Towns	• • •	→ 64	6,275.65		104.31	
4,210.83 0,401.30 738.15 86.86 849.85 1,565.37 1,583.06 883.98 129.73 129.73 1,565.37 1,088.70 39.43 14,532.75 17,210.98 2,678.23 18.43 14,532.75 9,016.36 3,547.60 64.87 1,435.35 42,600.32 \$ 12,660.09 42.28 \$ 29,940.23 48,875.97 \$ 15,864.15 48.06 \$ 33,011.82 \$ 48,875.97 \$ 15,864.15 23.97 \$ 7,804.76 \$ 9,675.43 \$ 1,870.67 23.97 \$ 215.97 266.65 50.68 23.47	• • • •		:	0.461.90	9 950 47	53.44	
\$49.85 1,565.37 2,761.30 2,761.30 1,565.37 1,088.70 3,850.00 1,4532.75 1,088.70 3,850.00 2,678.23 18.43 1,435.35 2,908.31 \$1,472.96 1,435.35 \$29,940.23 \$42,600.32 \$15,660.09 \$42.28 \$33,011.82 \$48,875.97 \$155.40 \$215.97 \$48.875.97 \$48.875.97 \$40.56 \$215.97 \$40.56	•	4,210.83		0,401.30	738.15	86.86	
\$ 2,761.30		849.85		1,000.00	883.98	129.73	
\$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc		081.39		8 850 00	1,088.70	39.43	
\$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc		2,701.30		17 910 98	2,678.23	18.43	
\$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc		14,002.70		0.016.36	3.547.60	64.87	
\$ 29,940.23 \$ 42,600.32 \$ 12,660.09 42.28 \$ 33,011.82 \$ 48,875.97 \$ 15,864.15 48.06 \$ 7,804.76 \$ 9,675.43 \$ 1,870.67 23.97 \$ 155.40 \$ 218.43 63.03 40.56 \$ 215.97 \$ 266.65		5,468.70		9,010.30	1,472.96	102.62	Sta
\$ 33,011.82 \$ 48,875.97 \$ 15,864.15 48.06 \$ 7,804.76 \$ 9,675.43 \$ 1,870.67 23.97 \$ 7,804.76 \$ 9,675.43 \$ 1,870.67 23.97 \$ 215.40 218.43 63.03 40.56 \$ 23.47		. •		49 600 32	_	42.28	ate
\$ 33,011.82 \$ 48,875.97 \$ 15,864.15 48.06 \$ 7,804.76 \$ 9,675.43 \$ 1,870.67 23.97 \$ 155.40 \$ 218.43 63.03 40.56 \$ 215.97 \$ 266.65 50.68 23.47	Total Cities & Towns		€				Ta
\$ 7,804.76 \$ 9,675.43 \$ 1,870.67 23.97 155.40 218.43 63.03 40.56 215.97 266.65 50.68 23.47	Total Emery County		₩,	48,875.97		48.06	x C
215.40 218.43 63.03 40.56 215.97 266.65 50.68 23.47	OWIIS		: (/)	9,675.43		23.97	omn
266.65 50.68 23.47	•			010	63 03	40.56	niss
		155.40 915 97		218.43 266.65	50.68	23.47	ion
		7.0.7					
		209.74		328.00	118.26	56.38	•
328.00 118.26 56.38		3,927.12		6,049.46	2,122.34	54.04	Tu
328.00 118.26 56.38 6,049.46 2,122.34 54.04		1,078.03		1,201.50	123.47	11.45	ven
328.00118.2656.386,049.462,122.3454.041,201.50123.4711.45		161.09		171.60	10.51	6.52	ty-
328.00 118.26 56.38 6,049.46 2,122.34 54.04 1,201.50 123.47 11.45 171.60 10.51 6.52		15,634.36		20,689.72	5,055.36	32.33	Fir
209.74 328.00 118.26 56.38 3,927.12 6,049.46 2,122.34 54.04 1,078.03 1,201.50 123.47 11.45 161.09 171.60 10.51 6.52 15,634.36 20,689.72 5,055.36 32.33		22	. • •	908.62 29.833.98	7	24.36 34.92	st B
3.927.12 6,049.46 2,122.34 54.04 1.078.03 1,201.50 123.47 11.45 161.09 171.60 10.51 6.52 15,634.36 20,689.72 5,055.36 32.33 730.66 908.62 177.96 24.36 22,112.37 \$ 29,833.98 \$ 7,721.61 34.92	Total Garfield County						ienr
209.74 328.00 118.26 56.38 3,927.12 6,049.46 2,122.34 54.04 1,078.03 1,201.50 123.47 11.45 161.09 171.60 10.51 6.52 15,634.36 20,689.72 5,055.36 32.33 780.66 908.62 177.96 24.36 22,112.37 \$ 29,833.98 \$ 7,721.61 34.92	& Towns	\$ 29,917.18		39,509.41		32.06	ial
3.927.12 328.00 118.26 3.927.12 6,049.46 2,122.34 1,078.03 1,201.50 123.47 161.09 171.60 10.51 730.66 908.62 177.96 22,112.37 \$ 29,833.98 \$ 7,721.61 s \$ 29,917.13 \$ 9,509.41 \$ 9,592.28	ecida e programa e en esta en	↑	# # **********************************	1100010	(A) (A) (A)	110 001	ŀ

State Tax Commission	Twenty-First Biennial Report	51
18.43 64.87 102.62 42.28 48.06 23.97 40.56 23.47	56.38 54.04 11.45 6.52 32.33 24.36 34.92 32.06 (18.93) (18.16) (18.16) (18.16) (18.16) (18.16) (18.16) (18.16) (18.16) (18.16) (18.16) (18.16)	(13.75)
\$ 2,678.23 3,547.60 1,472.96 \$ 12,660.09 \$ 15,864.15 \$ 1,870.67 63.03 50.68	118.26 2,122.34 123.47 10.51 5,055.36 177.96 \$ 7,721.61 \$ (4,161.99) (14,681.75) \$ (14,681.75) \$ (16,81.76) \$ (16	(664.38)
17,210.98 9,016.36 2,908.31 42,600.32 \$ 48,875.97 \$ 9,675.43 218.43 266.65	74 328.00 6,049.46 03 1,201.50 1,201.50 36 20,689.72 66 908.62 37 \$ 29,833.98 13 \$ 39,509.41 39 \$ 17,822.10 84 66,179.09 84 66,179.09 84 66,179.09 85 84,001.19 7 414.91 8695.94 8 177,179.38 8 3,151.39	4,168.65
\$ 5,468.76 1,435.35 \$ 29,940.23 \$ 33,011.82 \$ 7,804.76 155.40 215.97	209.74 3,927.12 1,078.03 161.09 15,634.36 730.66 \$ 22,112.37 \$ 29,917.13 \$ 29,917.13 \$ 29,917.13 \$ 80,860.84 \$ 80,860.84 \$ 875.09 \$ 102,844.93 \$ 146,577.75 \$ 375.07 7,636.40 \$ 154,589.22 \$ 154,589.22 \$ 3,548.48	4,833.03
Huntington. Orangeville Total Cities & Towns Total Emery County including Cities & Towns Garfield County Cities & Towns Antimony Boulder	wns. wns. wns. wns. ry ry Towns. ns	Lureka

SUMMARY OF DISTRIBUTION OF UNIFORM LOCAL, SALES AND USE TAX TO PARTICIPATING UNITS-Continued

2	!	State Tax Commission	Twenty-First Biennial Repo
	Percent of increase or (Becrease) 11.89 (41.72) 28.67 21.08 18.39 35.61	(6.78) 17.13 18.72 .05 16.65 29.09 25.53 35.53 35.16 (39.03) 56.38	23.81 120.30 70.30 3.87 (16.03) 70.36 32.94 32.39 30.05 (15.57)
	Amount of increase or (Decrease) 82.28 (299.46) 9,102.86 \$ 8,221.30 \$ 7,824.21 \$ 2,235.44	(11.47) 173.52 2,979.69 .97 \$ 3,142.71 \$ 5,378.15 \$ 2,529.55 9,303.48 7,047.54 (585.60) 545.30	293.09 145.44 149.83 26.72 (105.55) 340.05 \$ 17,160.30 \$ 19,689.85 \$ 6,260.10 \$ 6,260.10
155 (SS)	Net Distribut after Admi C 7-1-71 to 6-3 77 41,85 47,21 47,21 50,36	157.82 1,186.19 18,896.39 1,775.33 22,015.73 \$ 30,529.34 \$ 11,226.14 \$ 11,226.14 35,485.66 27,093.07 914.90 1,512.41	1,524.16 266.34 362.95 716.64 552.99 823.35 \$ 69,252.47 \$ 80,478.61 \$ 27,092.96 \$ 520.76
	Net Distribution after Adm. Costs 7-1-70 to 6-30-71 7-1-70 to 6-30-71 7-1-70 32,752,91 \$ 32,752,91 \$ 38,995,55 \$ 42,544.03 \$ 6,278.17	169.29 1,012,67 15,916.70 1,774.36 18,873.02 25,151.19 8,696.59 26,182.18 20,045.53 1,500.50	1,231.07 120.90 213.12 689.92 658.54 483.30 \$ 52,092.17 \$ 60,788.76 \$ 20,832.86 \$ 616.83
	Towns	Towns	Kanosh Leamington Lynndyl Meadow Oak City Scipio Total Cities & Towns Including Cities & Towns Morgan County Piute County Piute County Cities & Towns

16,65	21.38	29.09	35.53	35.16	(39,03)	56,38	: 0 2 2 4 1
\$ 3,142.71	\$ 5,378.15	\$ 2,529.55	9.303.48	7,047.54	(585,60)	545.30	
22,015.73	30,529.34	11,226.14	35,485,66	27,093.07	914,90	1,512,41	
⇔	. ** *	€					
18,873.02	25,151.19	8,696.59	26,182.18	20,045.53	1,500,50	967.11	
69	· 6	₩.					
Total Cities & Towns	Total Kane County including Cities & Towns	Millard County Cities & Towns	Delta	Fillmore	Hinckley	Holden	

23.81 120.30 70.30 3.87 (16.03) 70.36 32.94	32.39 30.05 30.05 (15.57) (27.41) (24.75) (24.75)	(23.84) 17.20 63.01 (14.97) 18.47 26.05 39.35
293.09 145.44 149.83 26.72 (105.55) 340.05	\$ 19,689.85 \$ 6,260.10 \$ (96.07) (772.39) (33.95) \$ (1,106.24)	\$ (1,202.31) \$320,939.42 6,053.85 (84.16) 15,210.30 123,831.83 11,164.79 439,168.06
1,524.16 266.34 362.95 716.64 552.99 823.35 \$ 69,252.47	\$ 80,478.61 \$ 27,092.96 \$ 520.76 2,045.62 405.30 911.98 \$ 3,362.90	\$ 3,883.66 \$2,186,416.14 15,662.31 478.03 97,555.45 599,179.85 39,536.17 4,255,998.67
1,231.07 120.90 213.12 689.92 658.54 483.30 \$ 52,092.17	\$ 60,788.76 \$ 20,832.86 \$ 616.83 2,818.01 439.25 1,211.88 \$ 4,469.14	\$ 5,085.97 \$1,865,476.72 9,608.46 562.19 82,345.15 475,348.02 28,371.38 3,816,830.61
Kanosh Leamington Lynndyl Meadow Oak City Scipio Total Cities & Towns Total Millard County	including Cities & Towns Morgan County Piute County Cities & Towns Circleville Junction Marysvale Total Cities & Towns Total Piute County	including Cities & Towns. Salt Lake County. Cities & Towns Alta (3) See notes. Bingham (4) See notes Midvale. Murray Riverton Salt Lake City

Twenty-First Biennial Report

~	-4
h	1
: ,	4

SUMMARY OF DISTRIBUTION OF U	NIFORM LOCAL SAL	DISTRIBUTION OF UNIFORM LOCAL SALES AND UST TAX TO PARTICIPATING UNITS-Continued	ARTICIPATING UNITS	S-Continued
	Net Distribution after Adm. Costs 7-1-70 to 6-30-71	Net Distribution after Adm. Costs 7-1-71 to 6-30-72	Amount of increase or (Decrease)	Percent of increase or (Decrease)
Sandy	46,938.87	66,672.95	19.734.08	45.04
South Jordan	3,569.94	3,198.92	(371.02)	(10.39)
South Salt Lake	396,597.80	465,277.08	68,679.28	17.32
West Jordan		40,326.53	7,793.20	23.95
Total Cities & Towns	\$ 4,892,705.75	\$ 5,583,885.96	\$691,180.21	14.13
Total Salt Lake County)
including Cities & Towns	\$ 6,758,182.47	\$ 7,770,302.10	\$1,012,119.63	14.98
San Juan County	\$ 23,206.11	\$ 32,533.39	\$ 9,327.28	40.19
Blanding	18,060.40	19,667.79	1,607.39	8.90
Monticello	14,556.08	15,076.49	520.41	3,58
Total Cities & Towns	\$ 32,616.48	\$ 34,744.28	\$ 2,127.80	6.52
Total San Juan County				
including Cities & Towns	\$ 55,822.59	\$ 67,277.67	\$ 11,455.08	20.52
Sanpete County	\$ 6,565.10	\$ 8,890.72	\$ 2,325.62	35.42
Centerfield	1,964.14	2,014.31	50.17	9. 10. 10.
Ephraim	12,804.72	13,665.57	860.85	6.72
Fairview	3,011.61	3,135.60	123.99	23.52
Fayette	175.93	120.20	(55.73)	(31.68)
Fountain Green	1,292.70	1,152.92	(139.78)	(10.81)

Twenty-First Biennial	Rej
19.11 8.90 (16.79) (9.83) 8.43 26.56 (.97) (49.09)	11.10
4,203.09 1,168.33 (97.10) (583.74) 1,162.66 202.77 (5.94) (38.06)	9,177.13
↔ ↔	₩
26,194.84 14,297.25 481.10 5,352.19 14,955.00 966.26 603.50 39.47 82,978.21	91,868.93
	₩
21,991.75 13,128.92 5,935.93 13,792.34 763.49 609.44 77.53	82,691.80
### ### ### #########################	₩
Gunnison Manti Mayfield Moroni Mt. Pleasant Spring City Sterling Wales Total Cities & Towns Total Sampete County	including Cities & Towns

1	90 59	35.42	. c	6.73	23.52	(81 68)	(10.81)
1	\$ 11.455.08	\$ 2,325.62	50.17	860.85	123.99	(55.73)	(139.78)
	29		2,014.31	13,665.57	3,135.60	120.20	1,152.92
	\$ 55,822.59	\$ 6,565.10 \$	1,964.14	12,804.72	3,011.61	175.93	1,292.70
Total San Juan County	including Cities & Towns	Sanpete County	Centerfield	Ephraim	Fairview	Tayette	rountain Green

Twenty-First Biennial Report
19.11 (16.79) (16.79) (9.83) (9.83) (9.83) (9.84) (49.09) (49.09) (49.09) (49.09) (11.10) (11.10) (10.05) (10.
4,203.09 1,168.33 1,168.33 1,162.66 202.77 (5.94) \$ 6,851.51 \$ 9,177.13 \$ 1,913.45 28.40 6.34 208.63 26.10 (35.92) 456.49 688.89 15,899.58 6,738.48 528.57 \$ 24,545.56
26,194.84 14,297.25 481.10 5,352.19 14,955.00 966.26 603.50 39.47 \$ 91,868.93 \$ 12,056.07 83.92 1,280.08 1,141.89 90.85 321.48 4,681.92 1,590.58 105,108.93 24,938.20 993.94 \$ 140,231.79
21,991.75 13,128.92 5,935.93 13,792.34 763.49 609.44 77.53 \$ 76,126.70 \$ 82,691.80 \$ 10,142.62 1,273.74 933.26 64.75 357.40 4,225.43 901.69 89,209.35 18,199.72 465.37 \$ 115,686.23
Gunnison Manti Mayfield Moroni Mt. Pleasant Spring City Sterling Wales Total Cities & Towns Total Sampete County including Cities & Towns Sevier County Cities & Towns Annabella Aurora Elsinore Glenwood Joseph Monroe Redmond Richfield Salina Sigurd Total Cities & Towns Total Sevier County

5	66												S	tat	e 7	Гах	: Co	om	mis	sior	1			T	we	nty	-Fir	st B	Sieni	nial	R€
79	Percent of increase or (Decrease)	21.33	34.18	27.00	(4.04) 9.77	13.23	59.96	39.13		35.32	38.63	38.77	32.13	31.36	52.76	33.24		33.87		50.56				(1000)	(96.81)	30.77		39.25	(9.23)	78.47	40.10
	Amount of increase or (Decrease)	\$ 2,365.67	3,359.65	101.46	(77.86)	118.36	11,624.48	\$ 15,938.62		\$ 18,304.29	\$ 7,328.00	4,801.06	319.63	38,053.55	4,336.8	\$ 47,511.12		\$ 54,839.12		\$ 33,080.62				(00000)	(2,909.23)	\$ 26,886.30		\$ 59,966.92	\$ (13,382.26)	1,064.40	30,596.09
	Net Distribution after Adm. Costs 7-1-71 to 6-30-72	\$ 13,458.03	13,187.86	477.24	1,850,79	1,012.72	31,010.07	\$ 56,668.21		\$ 70,126.24	\$ 26,297.59	17,183.36	1,314.33	159,390.43		\$ 190,444.77		\$ 216,742.36		\$ 98,509.15					95.81			\$ 212,761.75	\$ 131,658.72	2,420.92	106,888.27
	Net Distribution after Adm. Costs 7-1-70 to 6-30-71	\$ 11,092.36	9,828.21	375.78	1,928.65	894.36	19,385.59	\$ 40,729.59		\$ 51,821.95	\$ 18,969.59	12,382.30	994.70	121,336.88		\$ 142,933.65		\$ 161,903.24		\$ 65,428.53			i de la companya de l	0 0 0	2,003,04	\$ 87,366,30		_	\$ 145,040.98	1,356.52	76,292.18
		Summit County	Coalville	Francis	Kamas	Oakley	Park City	Total Cities & Towns	Total Summit County	including Cities & Towns	Tooele County	Grantsville	Stockton	Tooele	Wendover	Total Cities & Towns	Total Tooele County	including Cities & Towns		Uintah County				Cities & Towns Maggar (5) S. C. C.	Macsel (9) see notes	ਲ ਹ	Total Uintah County	including Cities & Towns	Utah County	Alpine	American Fork

Uintah County	Total Tooele County including Cities & Towns	Total Cities & Towns	Tooele	Stockton	Grantsville
€9	<	⇔			
65,428.53	161,903.24	8,219.77 142,933.65	121,336.88	994.70	12,382.30
€	∜	<!--</del-->			
98,509.15	216,742.36	12,556.65 190,444.77	159,390.43	1,314.33	17,183.36
\$ 33,080.62	\$ 54,839.12	4,336.88 \$ 47,511.12	38,053.55	319.63	4.801.06
50.56	33.87	52.76 33.24	31.36	32.13	38.77

Total Utah County including Cities & Towns	Springville	Spanish Fork	Santaquin	Salem	Provo	Pleasant Grove	Payson	Orem	Mapleton	Lindon	Lehi	Goshen	Genola	American Fork	Alpine	Cities & Towns	Utah County	including Cities & Towns	Total Uintah County	Total Cities & Towns	Vernal	Maeser (5) See notes	Cities & Towns
\$ 1,185,742.19	\$ 1,040,701.21	59,607.38	5,410.06	3,294.76	611,329.35	24,862.88	29,786.00	138,459.08	1,546.70	11,353.05	19,604.10	928.46	438,71	76,292.18	1,356.52		\$ 145,040.98	\$ 152,794.83		\$ 87,366.30	84,361.26	3,005.04	
\$1,392,961.27	\$ 1,261,302.55	69,633.04	6,156.20	3,896.30	700,614.51	30,612.16	37,411.21	195,314.80	1,625.74	16,201.91	24,726.81	889.25	○ 433.47	106,888.27	2,420.92		\$ 131,658.72	\$ 212,761.75		\$ 114,252.60	114,156.79	95.81	
\$207,219.08	\$,045.98 \$220,601.34	10,025.66	746.14	601.54	89,285.16	5,749.28	7,625.21	56,855.72	79.04	4,848.86	5,122.71	(39.21)	(5:24)	30,596.09	1,064.40		\$ (13,382.26)	\$ 59,966.92		ိုင္သ	u.)9.2	
17.48	14.26 21.20	16.82	13.79	18.26	14.61	23.12	25.60	41.06	5.11	42.71	26.13	(4.22)	(1.19)	40.10	78.47	13 4.50	(9.23)	39.25		30.77	35.32	(96.81)	

	after 7-1-7	Net Distribution after Adm. Costs 7-1-70 to 6-30-71	246	after Adm. Costs 7-1-71 to 6-30-72		Amount of increase or (Decrease)	rercent or increase or (Decrease)
Wasatch County	. ⇔	15,622.16	₩	20,868.90	\$?	5,246.74	33.59
Charleston		185.45		410,63		225.18	121.42
Heber		33,940.24	. ,	35,488.56		1,548.32	4.56
Midway		4,560.73		5,297.40		736.67	16.15
Wallsburg	100 M	159.21		328.82		169.61	106.53
Total Cities & Towns	€	38,845.63	⇔	41,525.41	₩	2,679.78	06.9
Total Wasatch County	•		•		€	1 1	и и т
including Cities & Towns	-	54,467.79	A	62,394.31	/	7,926.52	14.55
Washington County	⇔	8,314.02	69	15,779.00	⇔	7,464.98	89.79
Cities & Towns							
Enterprise		1,615.74		1,893.69		277.94	17.20
Hurricane		17,475.89		18,510.56		1,034.67	5.92
Ivins		178.80		202.92		24.12	13.49
LaVerkin		587.87		818.22	٠.	230.35	39.18
Leeds		309.26	•	218.03		(91.23)	(29.50)
New Harmony	-0	35.90		47.91		12.01	33.45
St. George	-	17,349.81		136,415.76		19,065.95	16.25
Santa Clara		1,198.66		1,473.34		274.68	22.92
Springdale		3,183.52		6,594.23		3,410.71	107.14
Toquerville	in a	275.45	•	274.29		(1.16)	(.42)
Virgin		223.83		238.69		14.86	6.84

5.92	13.40	39.18	(99 50)	22.45	16.91	60 66	107.14	167 J.	(*14) (*0.7)	0.04
1,034.67	294.19	230.35	(91.23)	10.61	19.065.95	69.726	3 410 71	(9L 1/3-00	() T.T. () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	00.1
18,510.56	202.92	818.22	218.03	47.91	136,415.76	1.473.84	6.594.28	274.29	09 8 6 C	
17,475.89	178.80	587.87	309.26	35.90	117,349.81	1,198.66	3,183.52	275.45	223.83	
nurricane	lvins	LaVerkin	Leeds	New Harmony	St. George	Santa Clara	Springdale	Toquerville	Virgin	

(39.22) 15.46	19.45	7.12	(9.98) (5.42)	36.16 (6.01)	(1.22)	13.22	16.05
(1,598:03) \$ 22,654:87	\$ 30,119.85	\$ 184.97	(198.28) (128.76)	\$ (271.50)	\$ (86.53)	\$192,928.14	\$1,937,846.68
2,477.00 \$ 169,164.64	\$ 184,943.64	\$ 2,784.14	1,787.57 2,248.69	209.14 \$ 4,245.40	\$ 7,029.54	\$ 1,651,946.16	\$14,012,913.71
4,075.03 \$ 146,509.77	\$ 154,823.79	\$ 2,599.17	1,985.85 2,377.45	153.60 * 4,516.90	\$ 7,116.07	\$ 1,459,018.02	\$12,075,067.03
Washington Total Cities & Towns	Total Washington County including Cities & Towns	Wayne County	Bicknell	Torrey Total Cities & Towns	Total Wayne County including Cities & Towns	Weber County	GRAND TOTAL

Changes since last report:

Helper, Carbon County, contract effective 7-1-71
 Price, Carbon County, contract effective 7-1-71
 Alta, Salt Lake County, contract effective 10-1-70
 Bingham, Salt Lake County, disincorporated 11-22-71 and withdrawn
 Maeser, Uintah County, withdrawn 7-1-71

SUMMARY OF DISTRIBUTION OF TRANSIENT ROOM TAX TO PARTICIPATING UNITS FOR FISCAL YEARS 1970-71 AND 1971-72

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Date Contract Effective	Net afte	Net Distribution after Adm. Costs 7-1-70 to 6-30-71	Zar	Net Distribution after Adm. Costs 7-1-71 to 6-30-72	Amount of Increase or (decrease)	Percent of Increase or (decrease)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	UNIT							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Box Elder County	7-1-70	\$	2.868.45	↔	4,732.62	\$ 1,864.17	65.00
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Davis County	4-1-70		827.95	Ž.	1,111.56	283.61	34.25
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Garfield County	1.1.69		2.880.12		3,199.59	319.47	11.09
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Grand County	5-1-70		10,458.79	r A	13,155.23	2,696.44	25.78
7-1-65 203,305.32 226,575.23 23,269.91 4-1-70 4,187.21 4,182.22 (4.99) 10-1-71 6,380.79 6,380.79 4-1-71 4,783.78 4,783.78 1-1-70 20,979.86 25,437.31 4,457.45 \$ 245,507.70 \$ 304,234.15 \$ 58,726.45	Kane County	1-1-72			/lt.}	737.35	737.35	1
4.187.21 4,182.22 (4.99) 10-1-71 6,380.79 6,380.79 4-1-71 13,938.47 13,938.47 4.783.78 4,783.78 1-1-70 20,979.86 25,437.31 4,457.45 \$ 245,507.70 \$ 304,234.15 \$ 58,726.45	Salt Lake County	7-1-65		203,305,32	١	226,575.23	23,269.91	11.45
10-1-71	San Iuan County	4-1-70		4,187.21		4,182.22	(4.99)	(.12)
4-1-71	Summit County	10-1-71			·	6,380.79	6,380.79	1
4,783.78 4,783.78 1-1-70 20,979.86 25,437.31 4,457.45 304,234.15 \$ 58,726.45	Iltah County	4-1-71			33	13,938.47	13,938.47	!
1-1-70 20,979.86 25,437.31 4,457.45	Wasatch County	4-1-71				4,783.78	4,783.78	;
\$ 245,507.70 \$ 304,234.15	Weber County	1-1-70		20,979.86		25,437.31	4,457.45	21.25
\$ 245,507.70 \$ 304,234.15								
	GRAND TOTAL			245,507.70	(/) ÷	304,234.15	\$ 58,726.45	

PF FOR TH

21.254,783.78 58,726.45 4,783.78 25,437.31 304,234.15 64 20,979.86 245,507.70 ₩ 4-1-71 wasaten County

GRAND TOTAL

PROPERTY TAXES FOR THE CALENDAR YEARS 1970 AND 1971

949, a.s.ii Hadrin

GENERAL PROPERTY TAKES FOR ALL PROPERTY PROPERTY.

Calcuster Less.

TOTAL PROPERTY TAXES FOR ALL PURPOSES CHARGED AGAINST EACH CLASS OF PROPERTY

Services and the services of t	1.00			
		ar Years	Amount of Increase or	Percent of Increase or
	1970	1971	(Decrease)	(Decrease)
Residential Real Estate	\$ 10.460,000	4 11 2/2 252		
Commercial and Industrial	. 10,400,909	a 11,302,259	\$ 901,350	8.62
Real Estate	5.013.233	6,407,194	400.067	
Agricultural Real Estate	5 385 001	5,511,587	493,961	8.35
Unclassified Real Estate	85 793	92,941	126,496	2.35
Residential Buildings	43,889,916	46,567,848	7,158 2,677,932	8.34
Commercial and Industrial	State Military Transport		2,077,952	6.10
Buildings		18,762,685	1,643,735	9.60
Agricultural Buildings	. 992,690	1,063,219	70,529	7.10
Motor Vehicles	. 11,261,722	12,033,034	771,312	6.85
Merchandise and Fixtures Commercial and Industrial	. 7,831,018	6,773,504	(1,057,514)	(13.50)
Machinery				(20,00)
Machinery		5,772,922	306,061	5.60
Agricultural Machinery	594,285	641,863	47,578	8.01
Other Personal Property	705,746	1,611,780	906,034	128.38
Range Cattle	520,948	540,226	19,278	3.70
Other Cattle	300,938	311,705	10,767	3.58
Horses and Mules	55,530	55,940	410	.74
Sheep		304,977	(18,308)	(5.66)
Poultry	34,869	26,043	(8,826)	(25.31)
Poultry Air Lines		8,395	(1,149)	(12.04)
Bus Lines	351,898	363,950	12,052	3.42
Car Companies	375,214	421,359	46,145	12.30
Express Company	258,635	285,022	26,387	10.20
Gas Distribution		5,943	(184)	(3.00)
Pipe Line Companies	2,642,179	2,651,807	9,628	.36
Power Companies	892,187	856,449	(35,738)	(4.01)
Railroad Companies	5,593,697	5,875,261	281,564	5.03
Telegraph Company	4,607,162	4,594,924	(12,238)	(.27)
Telephone Companies	48,833	39,644	(9,189)	(18.82)
Terminal Companies	5,534,641	6,093,646	559,005	10.10
Transit-Traction Companies	162,407	159,896	(2,511)	(1.55)
Water Companies	4,543		(4,543)	(100.00)
Mining Companies	29,715	30,202	487	1.64
Oil & Gas Production	19,671,978	25,590,513	5,918,535	30.09
	2,991,433	3,063,624	72,191	2.41
TOTALS	54,121,967 \$ 1	67,880,362 \$	13,758,395	8.93
•				0.70
By County Assessor\$1	10,951,318 \$ 1	17,848,122 \$	6,896,804	6.22
By State Tax Commission\$	43,170,649 \$	50,032,240 \$	6,861,591	15.89

anarous ties, the steer of

15032 (100.2°

155551

公在 3-

44.11

. Pagari

1-1-6-1-1

3.5° | 3.1°

DISTRIBUTION OF PROPERTY TAXES ACCORDING TO PURPOSE

	Calend 1970	lar Years 1971	Increase or (Decrease)	Percent of Change
	TOTALS FO	R STATE		
District Schools	\$ 97,675,397	\$103,915,321	\$ 6,239,924	6.39
Cities & Towns		21,336,851	970,796	4.77
County	29,128,751	35,064,135	5,935,384	20.38
Special Taxing Districts		7,369,888	622,648	9.23
Bounty		194,167	(10,357)	(5.06)
TOTALS	. \$154,121,967	\$167,880,362	\$13,758,395	8.93

TOTALS FOR EACH COUNTY

Beaver County

OF CHARLES AND A SECOND OF THE				age account T
TOTALS	573,311	555,073	\$ (18,238)	(3.18)
Bounty	8,418	7,332	(1,086)	(12.90)
Special Taxing Districts	22,475	21,197		(5.69)
County	73,066	96,966	23,900	32.71
Cities and Towns		56,822	1,176	2.11
District Schools	413,706 \$	372,756	\$ (40,950)	

Box Elder County

Rounty 16.879 18,715 1,836 10.8	Special Taxing Districts	Special Taxing Districts	Special Taxing Districts 88,315 153,492 65,177 73.80	Bounty	16,879 18,715	1,836 10.88
---------------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--	--------	---------------	-------------

MANUAL CONTRACTOR					changen days. T	San Steel St.
man - (liki)	4,440	1.3	- 47-47.		4111000	23470423 0 14
		Cache Co	ountv	angers.	normal restrict	事 an artill
(1901 (1901) (1908) M. (1901)			•		Section 2	an the third
· 建铁矿	- 1946 JES	1.0				
District Schools	\$	2,765,919	\$ 2,838,854	\$	72,935	
- 1.D		E97.067	520 046		1,979	38
Cities and Towns	• • • • • •	321,001	047,070			
County		620,674	636,909		16,235	2.62
County The Confession of	357 .04	10.001	10 204		(1,837)	(15.03)
Special Taxing Districts		12,221	10,004			
Bounty	SPACES	3,259	3,368		109	3.34
bounty		- ,			17.644.6	1
TOTALS	e	3 020 140	\$ 4,018,561	\$	89,421	2.28
IUIALD	· · · · · •	0,747,170	¥ 2,520,002	4	,	71

Twenty-First Biennial Repc

District Schools	\$)
Cities and Towns	•	
County		
Special Taxing Districts		
Bounty		
TOTALS	\$	٠.
1011120	÷	=
		_
	1	D
District Schools	\$	
County		
Special Taxing Districts		
Bounty		
TOTALS	\$	-
IOIALS	<u> </u>	=
		_
District Schools	•	:
Cities and Towns	•	•
County		
Special Taxing Districts		
Bounty		
TOTALS	<u> </u>	_
TOTALS	i	=
	_	
	D	u
District Schools	\$	
Cities and Towns		
County		
Special Taxing Districts		
Bounty		
TOTALS	\$	_
Alaman in the state of the stat	-	
· 建铁铁矿 (4.5 4) - 1.3 (4.5)		E
Rosantin is in the	_	
District Schools	\$	
Cities and Towns	•	
County	•	

Special Taxing Districts Bounty TOTALS \$

RTY TAXES

POS	É.		
'1		ncrease or Decrease)	Percent o Change
ΤE			
5,321			6.39
6,851	las	970,796	4.77
4,135		5,935,384	20.38
9,888		622,648	9.23
4,167		(10,357)	(5.06)
0,362	\$1	3,758,395	8.93
, OT 10	i T	ge filigen g	
OUN	4 1 1		ne espoje Linaste ai
2, 756	\$	(40,950)	(9.90)
6,822		1,176	2.11
5 ,966		23,900	32.71
1,197	•	(1,278)	(5.69)
7,332		(1,086)	(12.90)
5,073	\$	(18,238)	(3.18)
			. 4
-			1 1 1
1,200	\$	(11,635)	(.34)
),954	•	(1,616)	(.41)
,067		(1,895)	(.34)
,492		65,177	73.80
;,715		1,836	10.88
,428	\$	51,867	1.17
		+#* ±	
,854	\$	72,935	2.64
,046		1,979	.38
,909		16,235	2.62
,384		(1,837)	(15.03)
,368		109	3.34
,561	\$	89,421	2.28

	Carbon Cour	ity	
i de la companya de La companya de la co	1970	1971	Increase (Decrease
District Schools	\$ 1,901,664 \$	1,973,291	्र है हैं है विश्वयक्त
Cities and Towns	139,996	140,967	9
County	450,775	459,224	8,4
Created Taxing Districts	110.964	199 576	100

		1970		1971	. (Decrease)	Change
District Schools	. \$	1,901,664	\$	1,973,291	\$	71,627	3.77
Cities and Towns	•	139,996		140,967		971	.69
County	•	450,775		459,224		8,449	1.87
Special Taxing Districts	•	110,264		122,576		12,312	11.17
Bounty		3,149		2,156		(993)	(31.53)
TOTALS	. \$	2,605,848	\$	2,698,214	\$	92,366	3.54
	-				10 P		e Agerica de la como
		Daggett C	ou	nty	.:.	d Par	Section 1
District Schools		93,404	\$	100,629	\$,	7,225	7.74
Cities and Towns		9.930		9.336		(594)	(5.98)
County		35,675		38,435	٠.	2,760	7.74
Bounty	•	1,786		1,646	: :	(140)	(7.84)
TOTALS	. \$	140,7955	\$	150,046	\$	9,251	6.57
	_		.4		11.		
	. 7	Davis Co	unt	'Y	:		istorijas Storijas 19.
District Schools	\$	5,736,021	\$	5,877,975	\$	141,954	2.47
Cities and Towns		1,469,086		1,523,642		54,556	3.71
County		1,237,801		1,403,373		165,572	13.38
Special Taxing Districts		760,104		769,116		9,012	1.19
Bounty		1,421		1,395		(26)	(1.83)
TOTALS	\$	9,204,433	\$	9,575,501,	\$	371,068	4.03
	_					-	
	υ	uchesne C	ou	nty		· · · · · ·	
District Schools		780,764	\$	868,168	\$	87,404	11.19
Cities and Towns		78,618		83,583		4,965	6.32
County		277,556		299,910		22,354	8.05
Special Taxing Districts		15,681		17,437		1,756	11.20
Bounty		11,845		12,210		365	3.08
TOTALS	\$	1,164,464	\$	1,281,308	\$	116,844	10.03
	ı	Emary Ca	4	-			
		Emery Co	um	.y			
District Schools		512,471	\$	506,435	\$	(6,036)	(1.18)
Cities and Towns		53,277		53,229		(48)	(.09)
County		154,862		163,106		8,244	5.32
Special Taxing Districts		8,680		8,618		(62)	(.71)
Bounty		5,173		4,659		(514)	(9.94)
TOTALS	\$	734,463	\$	736,047	\$	1,584	.22

	G	arfield Co	ount	t y			
garage and the second		1970		1971		crease or Decrease)	Percent of Change
District Schools	\$	346,345	\$	363,440	\$	17,095	4.94
Cities and Towns		41,518		41,664	•	146	.35
County		89,960	·.'	94,400		4,440	4.94
Special Taxing Districts		7,066		8,119		1,053	14.90
Bounty		1,670		1,544		(126)	(7.54)
TOTALS	. \$	486,559	\$	509,167	\$	22,608	4.65
order Son of the CAN o							
	(Grand Cou	unty				
District Schools	. S	1,049,075	\$	939,847	\$	(109,228)	(10.41)
Cities and Towns		92,427	-F .	93,913		1,486	1.61
County		288,940		280,831		(8,109)	(2.81)
Special Taxing Districts	• 3.5	19,819	4. 1	31,358		11,539	58.22
Bounty		6,050		4,820		(1,230)	(20.33)
TOTALS	. \$	1,456,311	: \$	1,350,769	\$	(105,542)	(7.25)
	(Set)	ir j				- 1	1.5 18 14 18
 ผู้ค่า ซึ่งรู้ การใช้เรียกก็ค่ะให้ผู้ค่าของเขาผู้หรือคลาย 	9. P T F	Iron Cour	nty				
District Schools	. \$	1,546,129	\$	1,662,494	\$	116,365	7.53
Cities and Towns		257,652		260,351		2,699	1.05
County		363,046		386,390		23,344	6.43
Special Taxing Districts			반함	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Bounty		10,682	etin i	10,447		(235)	(2.20)
TOTALS	. <u> \$ </u>	2,177,509	\$	2,319,682	\$	142,173	6.53
	in de ga I (tille)						2. K 3.
	tez 1805	Juab Coui	nty	representative of the			
District Schools	. \$	531,279	\$	541,528	\$	10,249	1.93
Cities and Towns		61.680	r sylvy -	62,507		827	1.34
County	14	119.490	erakiya e	137,501		18,011	15.07
Special Taxing Districts		9,960	9 P	9,951		(9)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Bounty	7 (1 fil.) 2 files	10,040		10,177	٠.	137	1.36
TOTALS	<u> </u>	732,449	\$	761,664	. \$	29,215	3.99
The state of the s		Sa. Disperatoya Agrob	1,4101	A. Marija Kanganggan pandan	•		
ALLEN ALLEN A		(ane Coui		wy t		•	
District Schools	Specifical and a second	234.725	\$	223,207	\$	(11,518)	(4.91)
Cities and Towns				35,582	•	786	2.26
County		80,776	' ভা', 'শ্বী	76,812		(3,964)	(4.91)
Special Taxing Districts				4 667 <u>11. 34 </u>			
Bounty		the second of the second		853		(636)	(42.71)
TOTALS	. \$	351,786	St., 43	336,454	\$	(15,332)	(4.36)
	-						

Twenty-First Biennial Repor

Milli

District Schools	. \$
County	
Bounty	
TOTALS	\$ 1,
	Мо
District Schools	. \$
Cities and Towns	
County	
Special Taxing Districts	
Bounty	
TOTALS	\$
	Pit
District Schools	e
Cities and Towns	Φ.
County	
Special Taxing Districts	
Bounty	
TOTALS	\$
	Ri
District Schools	\$
Cities and Towns	•
County	
Special Taxing Districts	
Bounty	
TOTALS	\$
A. A. China and C. China	Salt
District Schools	\$ 50,·
ities and Towns	10,
County	17,
pecial Taxing Districts	4,
Bounty	•
ΤΩΤΑΤΟ	* 03
TOTALS	3 81,

Twenty-First Biennial Report

		ncrease or Decrease)	Percent o Change
140	\$	17,095	4.94
664		146	.35
100		4,440	4.94
119		1,053	14.90
544		(126)	(7.54)
167	\$	22,608	4,65
		1944	\$1.50 \$1.50
347	\$	(109,228)	(10.41)
113			1.61
31		(8,109)	(2.81)
58		11,539	58.22
20		215 (1,230) in	
69	\$	(105,542)	(7.25)
			52107
94	\$	116,365	7.53
51		2,699	1.05
90		23,344	6.43
17		(235)	(2.20)
82	\$	142,173	6.53
	7		AT TE
28	\$	10,249	1.93
07	-Ac	827	1.34
1(18,011	15.07
51		(9)	(.09)
77		137	1.36
64	\$	29,215	3.99
17	\$	(11,518)	(4.91)
12		786	2.26
2		(3,964)	(4.91)
::3		(636)	(42.71)
4	\$	(15,332)	(4.36)
		. ,,	(1.00)

and the second s	Mil	lard Co	ur	ity				
		1970	×,	i to I	1971		Increase or (Decrease)	Percent of Change
District Schools	. \$	984,618		\$	1,013,826	,	\$ 29,208	2.97
Cities and Towns		69,261			70,774	Ļ	1,513	2.18
County		183,589		147	189,035	;	5,446	2.97
Special Taxing Districts		68,397			101,721		33,324	48.72
Bounty		28,219	36. ₁₉		22,719)	(5,500)	(19.49)
TOTALS	\$ 1	,334,084		5]	,398,075	•		4.80
	Mo	organ C	ou	nty	(GMF)			
District Schools	\$	529,572			540,279	9	10,707	2.02
Cities and Towns		21,132	Tr.		21,692		560	2.02 2.65
County		168,361		÷	174,367		6,006	2.03 3.57
Special Taxing Districts		10,204			10,410		206	2.02
Bounty	1 J. F	1,012	į,		1,203		191	2.02 18.87
mom 4 T o	\$	730,281	•	1 2 1	747,951	\$		2.42
* 1	Pi	ute Cou	int					
District Schools				•	3 m 4 m m =			
Cities and Towns	Ð	139,736	. 3	,	154,572	\$,000	10.62
County		9,437			11,979		2,542	26.94
Special Taxing Districts		33,074			39,634		6,560	19.83
Bounty		2,756			3,049		293	10.63
•		1,243	-		1,383		140	11.26
TOTALS	\$	186,246	\$		210,617	\$	24,371	13.09
	Ri	ich Cou	nt	У	,			
	\$	246,327	.\$	**	253,360	\$	7,033	2.86
Cities and Towns		5,544			7,128		1,584	28.57
County		52,638			60,449		7,811	14,84
Special Taxing Districts		7,655			7,901		246	3.21
Bounty		3,856			3,812		(44)	(1.14)
TOTALS	\$	316,020	\$		332,650	\$	16,630	5.26
:	Salt I	Lake Co	ur	าty				
District Schools	\$ 50,6	036,176	\$	55,6	064,952	\$	5,028,776	10.05
Cities and Towns	10,	173,505			496,534		323,029	3.18
County	17,2	254,909		22,	397,589		5,142,680	29.80
Special Taxing Districts	4,2	260,402			673,858		413,456	9.70
Bounty		3,375			2,931		(444)	(13.16)
TOTALS	\$ 81,7	728,367	\$	92,	635,864	\$1	0,907,497	13.35

	Sa	an Juan Co	oun	ty			
		1970		1971		ncrease or Decrease)	Percent of Change
District Schools	\$	1,645,698	\$	1,625,346	\$	(20,352)	(1.24)
Cities and Towns		44,164		49,303		5,139	11.64
County		834,705		805,647		(29,058)	(3.48)
Special Taxing Districts		29,792		53,486		23,694	79.53
Bounty		2,640		2,073		(567)	(21.48)
TOTALS	\$	2,556,999	\$	2,535,855	\$	(21,144)	(.83)
en se maneral de la companya de la c La companya de la co	= S	anpete Co	unt	ty	-		
District Schools	\$	788,167	\$	780,421	\$	(7,746)	(.98)
Cities and Towns	•	117,619	•	117,599	•	(20)	(.02)
County		196,592		202,412		5,820	2.96
Special Taxing Districts		8,271		22,490		14,219	171.91
Bounty		12,786		13,107		321	2.51
TOTALS		1,123,435	\$	1,136,029	\$	12,594	1.12
All sections of the section of the s		Sevier Co	NI ID				·
the state of the s		Gevier Oc	Juli	Ļy			
District Schools		792,446	\$.	804,221	\$	11,775	1.49
Cities and Towns		118,428		123,263		4,835	4.08
County		166,212		168,682		2,470	1.49
Special Taxing Districts		16,789		17,039		250	1.49
Bounty		10,316		6,892		(3,424)	(33.19)
TOTALS	\$	1,104,191	\$	1,120,097	\$	15,906	1.44
	;	Summit C	oui	nty		•	
District Schools	\$	994,887	\$	1,018,096	\$	23,209	2.33
Cities and Towns		111,507	. 13	116,656		5,149	4.62
County		228,216		209,895		(18,321)	(8.03)
Special Taxing Districts		19,758		20,118		360	1.82
Bounty		3,390	14.	3,179		(211)	(6.22)
TOTALS	\$	1,357,758	\$	1,367,944	\$	10,186	.75
		Tooele Co	un	ty 👍 :			
District Schools	\$	1,378,417	\$	1,380,814	\$	2,397	.17
Cities and Towns		460,351		463,752		3,401	.74
County		306,639		321,800		15,161	4.94
Special Taxing Districts						البلسية	1 <u> </u>
Bounty		24,454		24,317	-	(137)	(.56)
TOTALS	\$	2,169,861	\$	2,190,683	\$	20,822	.96

District Schools Cities and Towns County Special Taxing Districts Bounty TOTALS	
District Schools Cities and Towns County Special Taxing Districts Bounty TOTALS	
District Schools Cities and Towns County Special Taxing Districts Bounty TOTALS	
	w
District Schools Cities and Towns County Special Taxing Districts Bounty TOTALS	\$
District Schools Cities and Towns County Special Taxing Districts Bounty TOTALS	

Twenty-First Biennial Report

~	-	~		•
State	lax	Com	miss	nois

71	Increase or (Decrease)		Percent of Change			
346	\$	(20.352)	(1.24)			
303	•	5,139	11.64			
647		(29,058)	(3.48)			
486		23,694	79.53			
073			(21.48)			
855	\$	(21,144)	(.83)			
421	\$	(7,746)	(.98)			
,599		(20)	(.02)			
,412		5,820	2,96			
,490		14,219	171.91			
,107		321	2.51			
,029	\$	12,594	1.12			
,221	\$	11,775	1.49			
,263		4,835	4.08			
,682		2,470	1.49			
,039		250	1.49			
,8 92	r-	(3,424)	(33.19)			
,097	-\$	15,906	1.44			
,096	\$	23,209	2.33			
,656		5,149	4.62			
,8 95		(18,321)	(8.03)			
,118		360	1.82			
,179		(211)	(6.22)			
,944	\$	10,186	75			
,814	\$	2,397	.17			
,752		3,401	.74			
.800		15,161	4.94			
,317		(137)	(.56)			
,683	\$	20,822	.96			
		······································				

Uintah County									
	1970		1971		ncrease or Decrease)	Percent of Change			
District Schools	\$ 1,804,016	\$	1,844,275	\$	40,259	2.23			
Cities and Towns	70,690		67,464		(3,226)	(4.56)			
County	380,375		369,418		(10,957)	(2.88)			
Special Taxing Districts	41,359		57,508		16,149	39.05			
Bounty	14,755		15,969	-	1,214	8.23			
TOTALS	\$ 2,311,195	\$	2,354,634	\$	43,439	1.88			
	Utah Co	unt	ty						
District Schools	\$ 9,547,802	\$	9,871,719	\$	323,917	3.39			
Cities and Towns	2,592,873		2,733,030		140,157	5.41			
County	1,679,338		1,969,208		289,870	17.26			
Special Taxing Districts	216,753		224,245		7,492	3.46			
Bounty	8,689		9,555		866	9.97			
TOTALS	\$ 14,045,455	\$	14,807,757	\$	762,302	5.43			
Wasatch County									
District Schools	\$ 604,031	\$	675,816	\$	71,785	11.88			
Cities and Towns	37,094		45,118		8,024	21.63			
County	226,665		237,250		10,585	4.67			
Special Taxing Districts	17,788		17,519		(269)	(1.51)			
Bounty	1,356		869		(487)	(35.91)			
TOTALS	\$ 886,934	\$	976,572	\$	89,638	10.11			
	Washington County								
District Schools	\$ 699,760	\$	810,437	\$	110,677	15.82			
Cities and Towns	165,166		188,202		23,036	13.95			
County	211,572		245,035		33,463	15.82			
Special Taxing Districts	10,296		12,570		2,274	22.09			
Bounty	1,347		1,066		(281)	(20.86)			
TOTALS	\$ 1,088,141	\$	1,257,310	\$	169,169	15.55			
Wayne County									
District Schools	\$ 84,891	\$	79,869	\$	(5,022)	(5.92)			
Cities and Towns	3,497		3,570	•	73	2.09			
County	33,611		33,925		314	.93			
Special Taxing Districts									
Bounty	3,763		3,671		(92)	(2.44)			
TOTALS	\$ 125,762	\$	121,035	\$	(4,727)	(3.76)			

14.33

App. H

编辑(10.787) 计

Weber County

est de la companya de	1970		1971	icrease or Decrease)	Percent of Change
District Schools \$	8,091,516	\$	8,344,494	\$ 252,978	3.13
Cities and Towns	3,152,524		3,540,191	387,667	12.30
County	2,826,672		3,014,865	188,193	6.66
Special Taxing Districts	982,435	:	995,726	13,291	- 1.35
Bounty	1,462		2,099	637	43.57
TOTALS \$	15,054,609	\$	15,897,375	\$ 842,766	5.60

STATEMENT SHOPE OF TO AS COMPA

TRI

MEN CALL MAN

est (group) a regard a filipe de la company de la company

194.0 MOUS.

971		ease or rease)	Ch	ent of ange
4,494	\$ 2	52,978	ant 8 m	3.13 1
0,191	3	87,667		2.30
4,865	1	88,193		6.66
5,726		13,291		1.35
2,099		637	4	3.57/
7,375	\$ 8	42,766	P1/1	5:60

Sinche palail Sinche paraili Sinche paraili Sinche

> in Societation in Property in Second Designation

हो । स्टेक्ट्राणी राजिक्ट्रीय समस्त्री क्रीक्ट्राल्य ११ जन्म

Malegari Palanda Malegari Samala Garaga Arabah Malegari

TRENDS IN TAXATION

gig Barbarow O o a Torack

STATEMENT SHOWING SHIFT IN PROPORTION
OF TOTAL PROPERTY TAXES
AS COMPARED WITH EXCISE TAXES
OVER A TEN YEAR PERIOD

TRENDS IN TAXATION TEN YEAR COMPARATIVE REPORT OF PROPERTY TAXES LEVIED AND EXCISE TAXES COLLECTED

FOR CALENDAR YEARS 1962 - 71 and FISCAL YEARS JULY 1 to JUNE 30, 1963 -72

Excise Taxes % of Total	54.54 54.80 54.47	56.58 57.50	57.85 58.97	62.87 62.96	64.16
Property Taxes % of Total	45.46 45.20	43.42 42.50	42.15 41.03	37.13 37.04	35.84
Total	\$212,412,704 236,350,148 951,058,078	282,428,683 282,428,683 294,963,127	312,876,987 333,356,427	389,101,891 416,051,849	468,380,259
Excise Taxes Net Collection Fiscal Year	\$115,844,606 129,512,786	159,792,425 169,597,550	181,008,431 196,596,698	244,628,477 261,929,882	300,499,897
Property Taxes Levied Calendar Year	\$ 96,568,098 106,837,362	114,724,732 122,636,258 125,365,577	131,868,556 136,759,729	144,473,414 154,121,967	167,880,362
Period Calendar Fiscal Year Year	1962 - 63 $1963 - 64$	1965 - 66 $1965 - 66$ $1966 - 67$	1967 - 68 $1968 - 69$	1969 - 70 $1970 - 71$	1971 - 72

Property taxes which are reported above represent the gross amount which was levied for all state and local purposes for each of the years as indicated. No adjustments have been made for loss in collections due to duplicate assessments or for other errors.

120.50%

159.40%

73.85%

Rate of Increase in 10 Years

The excise taxes listed above show the net amount collected after adjustments were made for refunds and for other causes. It should be noted that the state tax commission collects all state excise taxes and also the Uniform Local Sales and Use Tax and Transient Room Tax. The collections of these local excise taxes are included above.