06-1229 Penalty & Interest Signed 04/24/2007

#### BEFORE THE UTAH STATE TAX COMMISSION

PETITIONER.	)		
Post of	)	ORDER	
Petitioner,	)	Appeal No.	06-1229
v.	)	Account No.	#####
AUDITING DIVISION,	)	Tax Period:	2003
UTAH STATE TAX COMMISSION,	)	Tax Type:	Penalty & Interest
Respondent.	)	Presiding:	Jensen

## **Presiding:**

Clinton Jensen, Administrative Law Judge

## **Appearances:**

For Petitioner: PETITIONER

For Respondent: RESPONDENT REPRESENTATIVE, from the Auditing Division

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

This matter came before the Commission for an Initial Hearing in accordance with Utah Code Ann. §59-1-502.5 on January 8, 2007. Petitioner is appealing a penalty of \$\$\$\$ and interest of approximately \$\$\$\$\$ for the late filing or payment of withholding tax for the filing period of January 1, 2003 to December 31, 2003. The Petitioner's representative explained that his was a small business with one bookkeeper. This arrangement worked well and the parties agree that the Petitioner has a good record of timely filing and payments before 2003. PETITIONER testified that the business had not had a tax payment problem in 30 years of operation before 2003. But in May 2003, the bookkeeper retired. PETITIONER indicated that he had little bookkeeping experience and

no computer skills. Accordingly, he immediately hired another bookkeeper to take over the computer and accounting tasks. The new bookkeeper had little experience and the parties agree that the new bookkeeper made an error on the 2003 withholding tax forms that resulted in an underpayment for the year. The Petitioner agrees with the audit and has paid the underpayment but requests waiver of penalties and interest on the underpayment.

#### APPLICABLE LAW

The Tax Commission is granted the authority to waive, reduce, or compromise penalties and interest upon a showing of reasonable cause. Utah Code Ann. § 59-1-401(11).

## **DISCUSSION**

Tax Commission Publication 17, available at <a href="http://tax.utah.gov/forms/pubs/pub-17.pdf">http://tax.utah.gov/forms/pubs/pub-17.pdf</a>, explains the factors that the Commission will consider in reviewing waiver requests. This publication indicates that grounds for waiving interest are more stringent than for penalty and that a taxpayer generally has to show Tax Commission error to qualify for an interest waiver. Compliance history is one of the factors the Commission will consider in reviewing a waiver request.

In this case, the Petitioner presented testimony of many years of timely filing and payments as well as a simple error notwithstanding reasonable efforts to replace a long-time bookkeeper. These factors are sufficient to provide good cause to waive the penalty at issue. The Petitioner did not allege or demonstrate any Tax Commission error that would support a waiver of interest.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

Based upon the foregoing, the Commission finds that sufficient cause has been shown

Appeal No. 06-1229

to justify a waiver of the penalty associated with the 2003 tax year to the amount of \$\$\$\$\$. The Commission finds that good cause has not been shown to justify a waiver of interest for the 2003 tax year. It is so ordered.

This decision does not limit a party's right to a Formal Hearing. However, this Decision and Order will become the Final Decision and Order of the Commission unless any party to this case files a written request within thirty (30) days of the date of this decision to proceed to a Formal Hearing. Such a request shall be mailed to the address listed below and must include the Petitioner's name, address, and appeal number:

Utah State Tax Commission Appeals Division 210 North 1950 West Salt Lake City, Utah 84134

> Clinton Jensen Administrative Law Judge

# BY ORDER OF THE UTAH STATE TAX COMMISSION:

	The Commission has reviewed this case and the undersigned concur in this decision.			
	DATED this	day of	, 2007.	
Pam Hendric Commission			R. Bruce Johnson Commissioner	
Marc B. John Commission			D'Arcy Dixon Pignanelli Commissioner	

**Notice:** If the Petitioner does not request a Formal Hearing within the thirty-days as discussed above, failure to pay the amount of deficiency that results from this order may result in an additional penalty.

CDJ/06-1229.p&i.int