06-1066 Audit Signed 11/20/2006

### BEFORE THE UTAH STATE TAX COMMISSION

PETITIONER 1 & PETITIONER 2,	)		
	)	ORDER	
Petitioner,	)		
	)	Appeal No.	06-1066
v.	)		
	)	Account No.	#####
AUDITING DIVISION OF THE	)		#####
UTAH STATE TAX COMMISSION,	)	Tax Type:	Income
	)	Tax Years:	2002-2004
Respondent.	)	Judge:	Phan

## **Presiding:**

Jane Phan, Administrative Law Judge

### **Appearances:**

For Petitioner: PETITIONER 2

For Respondent: RESPONDENT REPRESENTATIVE 1, Manager, Income Tax Auditing

RESPONDENT REPRESENTATIVE 2, Senior Auditor

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE

This matter came before the Commission for an Initial Hearing on November 8, 2006. It was originally scheduled for a Telephone Status Conference but converted to the Initial Hearing. Petitioners are appealing the interest assessed with an audit for tax years 2002 through 2004. No penalties had been assessed with the audit. Petitioners did not contest the underlying audit deficiencies.

### APPLICABLE LAW

Upon making a record of its actions, and upon reasonable cause shown the commission may waive, reduce or compromise any of the penalties or interest imposed under this part. Utah Code Sec. 59-1-401(11).

### **DISCUSSION**

Petitioner PETITIONER 2 explained that she and PETITIONER 1 had owned a house in Utah during the years in question and that is where she primarily resided. She had worked in Utah and the

money she earned she had claimed on her Utah tax return. However, PETITIONER 1 was employed in

STATE. She indicates that they had relied on advice from an accountant who had told them as long as

PETITIONER 1 did not spend more than 185 days in Utah, he did not have to claim the STATE income on his

Utah return or pay tax on the STATE income. Petitioners ask that the interest be waived because they relied on

this advice and point out that erroneous advice had already caused them a lot of problems. After the Auditing

Division had brought the issue of domicile to Petitioners' attention they did seek advice from another

accountant and now they do not contest the tax amount.

Interest is assessed pursuant to statute when taxes are not paid or are underpaid. Interest is to

compensate the state for the time value of money and is generally waived only in the event the Tax

Commission, or Tax Commission employee error gave rise to the late payment or underpayment. This type of

error was not indicated in the facts.

**DECISION AND ORDER** 

Based upon the foregoing, the Commission finds that sufficient cause has not been shown to

justify a waiver of the interest associated with Petitioners' individual income tax for tax years 2002 through

2004. It is so ordered.

This decision does not limit a party's right to a Formal Hearing. However, this Decision and

Order will become the Final Decision and Order of the Commission unless any party to this case files a written

request within thirty (30) days of the date of this decision to proceed to a Formal Hearing. Such a request shall

be mailed to the address listed below and must include the Petitioner's name, address, and appeal number:

Utah State Tax Commission Appeals Division 210 North 1950 West

Salt Lake City, Utah 84134

2

Failure to request a Fo	ormal Hearing wi	ll preclude any further appeal rights in this matter.
DATED this	day of	, 2006.
		Jane Phan Administrative Law Judge
BY ORDER OF THE UTAH STATE	TAX COMMISS	SION:
The Commission has a	reviewed this cas	e and the undersigned concur in this decision.
DATED this	_ day of	, 2006.
Pam Hendrickson Commission Chair		R. Bruce Johnson Commissioner
Marc B. Johnson Commissioner		D'Arcy Dixon Pignanelli Commissioner
NOTICE: Failure to pay the balance du result in an additional penalty.	ue as a result of th	nis order within thirty days from the date hereon may
JKP/06.1066.int.do c		