05-1023 Locally Assessed Property Tax Signed 03/24/2006

BEFORE THE UTAH STATE TAX COMMISSION

PETITIONER,)		
) ORD	ER	
Petitioner,)		
) Appea	al No.	05-1023
v.)		
) Parcel	l No.	#####
BOARD OF EQUALIZATION) Tax T	ype:	Property Tax/Locally Assessed
OF SALT LAKE COUNTY,) Tax Y	ear:	2004
STATE OF UTAH,)		
) Judge	:	Chapman
Respondent.)		-

This Order may contain confidential "commercial information" within the meaning of Utah Code Sec. 59-1-404, and is subject to disclosure restrictions as set out in that section and regulation pursuant to Utah Admin. Rule R861-1A-37. The rule prohibits the parties from disclosing commercial information obtained from the opposing party to nonparties, outside of the hearing process. However, pursuant to Utah Admin. Rule R861-1A-37, the Tax Commission may publish this decision, in its entirety, unless the property taxpayer responds in writing to the Commission, within 30 days of this notice, specifying the commercial information that the taxpayer wants protected. The taxpayer must mail the response to the address listed near the end of this decision.

Presiding:

Kerry R. Chapman, Administrative Law Judge

Appearances:

For Petitioner: No one appeared

For Respondent: RESPONDENT REPRESENTATIVE, Appraiser, Salt Lake County Assessors'

Office

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

This matter came before the Commission for an Initial Hearing pursuant to the provisions of Utah Code Ann. 59-1-502.5, on March 21, 2006. Although notified of the date and time of the hearing, the Petitioner failed to appear. For this reason, the Commission issues an Order of Default against the Petitioner and, in accordance with Utah Code Ann. §63-46b-11(4)(a), has conducted the Initial Hearing without the participation of the Petitioner and without consideration of any evidence that the Petitioner might have proffered at the hearing.

At issue is the fair market value of the subject property as of January 1, 2004. The subject property is an 83-unit apartment complex located at ADDRESS. For the 2004 tax year, the subject property was assessed at \$\$\$\$\$, which the County Board of Equalization ("County BOE") sustained. The County is asking the Commission to sustain the decision of the County BOE and determine that the fair market value of the subject property is \$\$\$\$, based on a February 13, 2006 appraisal prepared by RESPONDENT REPRESENTATIVE that supports this value.

APPLICABLE LAW

- 1. The Tax Commission is required to oversee the just administration of property taxes to ensure that property is valued for tax purposes according to fair market value. Utah Code Ann. §59-1-210(7).
- 2. Any person dissatisfied with the decision of the county board of equalization concerning the assessment and equalization of any property, or the determination of any exemption in which the person has an interest, may appeal that decision to the Tax Commission. In reviewing the county board's decision, the Commission may admit additional evidence, issue orders that it considers to be just and proper, and make any correction or change in the assessment or order of the county board of equalization. Utah Code Ann. §59-2-1006(3)(c).
- 3. Petitioner has the burden to establish that the market value of the subject property is other than the value determined by Respondent.
- 4. To prevail, the Petitioner must (1) demonstrate that the County's original assessment contained error, and (2) provide the Commission with a sound evidentiary basis for reducing the original valuation to the amount proposed by Petitioner. *Nelson V. Bd. Of Equalization of Salt Lake County*, 943 P.2d 1354 (Utah 1997), *Utah Power & Light Co. v. Utah State Tax Commission*, 530 P.2d. 332 (Utah 1979).

DISCUSSION

No evidence or testimony was proffered at the Initial Hearing to suggest that the fair market value established by the County BOE is incorrect. Such information must be received for the Commission to consider a change in value. For these reasons, the Commission denies the Petitioner's appeal and sustains the value established by the County BOE.

DECISION AND ORDER

Based upon the foregoing, the Tax Commission issues an Order of Default against the Petitioner. Furthermore, based on the evidence and testimony proffered by the County at the Initial Hearing, the Commission denies the Petitioner's appeal and sustains the County BOE's fair market value of \$\$\$\$ for the subject property for the 2004 tax year. It is so ordered.

This decision does not limit a party's right to a Formal Hearing. However, this Decision and Order will become the Final Decision and Order of the Commission unless any party to this case files a written request within thirty (30) days of the date of this decision to proceed to a Formal Hearing. Such a request shall be mailed to the address listed below and must include the Petitioner's name, address, and appeal number:

Utah State Tax Commission Appeals Division 210 North 1950 West Salt Lake City, Utah 84134

Failure to request a I	Formal Hearing	will preclude any further appeal rights in this matter.		
DATED this	day of	, 2006.		
		Kerry R. Chapman		

BY ORDER OF THE UTAH STATE TAX COMMISSION.

	The Commission has reviewed this case and the undersigned concur in this decision					
	DATED this	day of		_, 2006.		
Pam Hendricks Commission C			R. Bruce Johnson Commissioner			
Palmer DePaul Commissioner			Marc B. Johnson Commissioner			